arXiv:1603.09414v2 [math-ph] 15 Sep 2016

LATTICE EQUATIONS ARISING FROM DISCRETE PAINLEVÉ SYSTEMS. II. $A_4^{(1)}$ CASE

NALINI JOSHI, NOBUTAKA NAKAZONO, AND YANG SHI

Abstract. In this paper, we construct two lattices from the τ functions of $A_4^{(1)}$-surface q-Painlevé equations, on which quad-equations of ABS type appear. Moreover, using the reduced hypercube structure, we obtain the Lax pairs of the $A_4^{(1)}$-surface q-Painlevé equations.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Construction of the ω-lattice of type $A_4^{(1)}$ 5
3. Construction of the lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$ 12
4. Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 18
5. Concluding remarks 24
Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 2.2 25
Appendix B. The linear action of $\widetilde{W}((A_2 \rtimes A_1)^{(1)})$ 28
References 30

1. Introduction

Two longstanding classifications of integrable discrete systems in different dimensions, one by Adler-Bobenko-Suris (ABS) [1, 2, 6–8] and the other by Sakai [55], have been widely studied, but the mathematical connection between them remains incomplete. How to reduce the ABS partial difference equations to Sakai's discrete Painlevé equations is a natural question that has inspired many authors [12, 14, 16, 17, 39, 48, 49]. However, these earlier approaches focused on taking periodic constraints in two dimensions that lead to equations with a restricted set of parameters, manually extending these by adding gauge transformations in order to introduce more parameters. Another rich vein of inquiry reduces the Lax pairs of ABS equations to provide these elusive linear problems for discrete Painlevé equations. We provide a different approach grounded in higher-dimensional geometry associated naturally with full-parameter discrete Painlevé equations [23–25]. In this paper, we review our approach and illustrate it for $A_4^{(1)}$-surface type q-discrete Painlevé equations, providing new Lax pairs for these equations.

The geometric setting of reflection groups is essential to our approach. Within this framework, we construct higher dimensional lattices, called ω-lattices, from discrete Painlevé equations. These lattices also arise from integer lattices associated with ABS classification and thereby provide a bridge between the two classifications. In an earlier series of papers [23–25], we constructed ω-lattices for $A_5^{(1)}$- and $A_6^{(1)}$- surface q-Painlevé equations. The $A_4^{(1)}$-case is a simpler (less degenerate) surface than these earlier cases, but it is well known that when the surface is simpler, the corresponding symmetry groups and discrete Painlevé equations become more complex [55].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33E17, 37K10, 39A13, 39A14 .
Key words and phrases. Discrete Painlevé equation; ABS equation; Lax pair; τ function; affine Weyl group .

Despite the increasing complexity, our approach connects discrete Painlevé equations to partial difference equations through reductions of hypercubes and polytopes. We construct two lattices in two ways, one through reduction of polytopes and the other by reduction of hypercubes. Both lattices arise from the τ functions of $A_4^{(1)}$-surface type q-discrete Painlevé equation. They share fundamental variables (called ω-variables) and both give rise to ABS equations and to q-discrete Painlevé equations. The polytope case will be investigated further in future work. The hypercube lattice is referred to below as $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$. (More details are given in §1.2 and §3.)

A fundamental property of the ABS equations is their consistency around each cube of the integer lattice. The reduced hypercube structure of the lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$ then provides us with reductions of the Lax pairs of ABS squations, which turn out to be new Lax pairs for q-Painlevé equations (1.1). Our results show that these equations share one monodromy problem. Moreover, the coefficient matrices in each case are factorized into product of matrices that are linear in the monodromy variable x. We remark that in each case, we also obtain Lax pairs for the scalar form of the equations.

In this paper, we construct two important lattices, where quad-equations are observed, from the τ functions of $A_4^{(1)}$-surface type q-discrete Painlevé equation. One is the ω-lattice of type $A_4^{(1)}$ investigated in §2. An ω-lattice provides informations about how a system of partial difference equations can be reduced to discrete Painlevé equations. It provides not only the type of equation, but also the combinatorial structure of the lattice before reduction (see [24, 25] for details). The other lattice is the $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$ investigated in §3. The lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$ can be obtained from an integer lattice, given by the space-filling of the hypercube on whose faces quad-equations of ABS type are assigned, by the geometric reduction. By using this reduced hypercube structure, we obtain the Lax pairs of the q-Painlevé equations (1.1). These Lax pairs differ from the ones in the literature [35]. Moreover, our result show that four equations of $A_4^{(1)}$-surface type share the same q-discrete monodromy problem (1.4) with differing deformation equations given by (1.7). Other distinctive properties of our Lax pairs are that their coefficient matrices occur as products of matrices of degree one in the spectral parameter x and elements of the coefficient matrices given by the rational functions of Painlevé variables.

1.1. **$A_4^{(1)}$-surface q-Painlevé equations.** In this paper, we collectively call the following q-difference equations as $A_4^{(1)}$-surface q-Painlevé equations since they are of $A_4^{(1)}$-surface type in Sakai's classification [55]:

$$q\text{-P}_{\text{V}}\text{:}\quad \begin{cases} \overline{F}F = \dfrac{1}{{c_3}^2t^2}\dfrac{(c_1+tG)(c_2+tG)}{c_3+G}, \\ G\underline{G} = \dfrac{{c_3}^2}{t^2}\dfrac{({c_3}^{-1}+tF)(qc_1c_2{c_3}^{-2}+tF)}{{c_3}^{-1}+F}, \end{cases} \tag{1.1a}$$

$$q\text{-P}_{\text{V}}{}^*\text{:}\quad \begin{cases} (\overline{F}G-1)(FG-1) = \dfrac{t^2}{qc_1{c_2}^2}\dfrac{({c_1}^{-1}{c_3}^2+G)(qc_1{c_2}^2+G)}{c_3t+G}, \\ (FG-1)(F\underline{G}-1) = \dfrac{{c_3}^2t^2}{qc_1}\dfrac{(c_1{c_3}^{-2}+F)(q^{-1}{c_1}^{-1}{c_2}^{-2}+F)}{q^{-1}{c_2}^{-1}t+F}, \end{cases} \tag{1.1b}$$

$$q\text{-P}_{\text{III}}(D_7^{(1)})\text{:}\quad \widetilde{G}\underset{\sim}{G} = \frac{1}{t^2}\frac{(c_1+tG)(p^{-1}+tG)}{1+G}, \tag{1.1c}$$

$$q\text{-P}_{\text{IV}}\text{:}\quad (\widetilde{G}G-1)(G\underline{G}-1) = \frac{t^2}{p^2c_1{c_2}^2}\frac{(p^{-2}{c_1}^{-1}{c_2}^{-2}+G)(p^2c_1{c_2}^2+G)}{p^{-1}{c_2}^{-1}t+G}, \tag{1.1d}$$

where $t, c_1, c_2, c_3, q, p \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and

$$F = F(t), \quad G = G(t), \quad \overline{F} = F(qt), \quad \underline{G} = G(q^{-1}t), \quad \widetilde{G} = G(pt), \quad \underset{\sim}{G} = G(p^{-1}t). \tag{1.2}$$

We note that q-$\mathrm{P_V}$ (1.1a), q-$\mathrm{P_V}^*$ (1.1b), q-$\mathrm{P_{III}}(D_7^{(1)})$ (1.1c) and q-$\mathrm{P_{IV}}$ (1.1d) are known as a q-discrete analogue of the Painlevé V equation [55], that of the Painlevé V equation [59], that of the Painlevé III equation of $D_7^{(1)}$-surface type [38] and that of the Painlevé IV equation [54], respectively.

Remark 1.1. *It is known that q-$\mathrm{P_V}$ (1.1a) and q-$\mathrm{P_V}^*$ (1.1b) can be reduced to q-$\mathrm{P_{III}}(D_7^{(1)})$ (1.1c) [38] and q-$\mathrm{P_{IV}}$ (1.1d) [37] by the projective reductions:*

$$c_2 = p^{-1}, \quad c_3 = 1, \quad q = p^2, \quad F = \underset{\sim}{G}, \tag{1.3a}$$

$$c_2c_3 = p^{-1}, \quad q = p^2, \quad F = \underset{\sim}{G}, \tag{1.3b}$$

respectively. In this sense, q-$\mathrm{P_{III}}(D_7^{(1)})$ and q-$\mathrm{P_{IV}}$ are sometimes called as the scalar forms of q-$\mathrm{P_V}$ and q-$\mathrm{P_V}^*$, respectively.

1.2. **Main results.** In this section, we outline two main results of this paper.

Firstly, in §4.1, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *The lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$ has a reduced hypercube structure.*

The lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$ is a 3-dimensional integer lattice on which ABS equations (2.39)–(2.40) and q-Painlevé equations (1.1) appear. This lattice is constructed from the τ functions of $A_4^{(1)}$-surface q-Painlevé equations (see §3). Theorem 1.2 means that the lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$ can be also obtained from the 4-dimensional hypercube lattice on whose faces ABS equations are assigned. This reduced hypercube structure turn out to be essential in the construction of Lax pairs for discrete Painlevé equations [23].

Our second main result, Theorem 1.3, concerns the Lax pairs of the q-Painlevé equations (1.1). Equations (1.1) share one spectral linear problem, which takes the factorized form

$$\Phi(px) = \begin{pmatrix} *x & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} *x & * \\ * & *x \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} *x & * \\ * & *x \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} *x & * \\ * & *x \end{pmatrix} . \Phi(x) = A . \Phi(x). \tag{1.4}$$

Here, the 2×2 matrix $A = A(x)$ is given by (4.28) whose elements are expressed by the non-zero complex parameters b_i, $i = 0, \ldots, 3$, and p and unknown functions $f_i^{(1)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Note that the functions $f_i^{(1)}$ satisfy the following relation:

$$b_1b_2b_3 + pb_1{}^3b_2 f_1^{(1)} - p^2b_0{}^3b_3{}^{3/2} f_1^{(3)} + p^2b_0b_1{}^4b_3{}^{3/2} f_1^{(1)} f_1^{(2)} f_1^{(3)} = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

We introduce the deformation operators T_0, T_{13}, R_0 and R_{13} whose actions on the parameters b_i, $i = 0, \ldots, 3$, and p are given by

$$T_0 : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, p) \mapsto (pb_0, pb_1, b_2, b_3, p), \tag{1.6a}$$

$$T_{13} : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, p) \mapsto (b_0, b_1, p^2b_2, b_3, p), \tag{1.6b}$$

$$R_0 : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, p) \mapsto (b_1, pb_0, b_2, b_3{}^{-1}, p), \tag{1.6c}$$

$$R_{13} : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, p) \mapsto (b_0, b_1, pb_2, b_3{}^{-1}, p), \tag{1.6d}$$

while those on the spectral parameter x and the wave function $\Phi = \Phi(x)$ are given by

$$T_0(x) = T_{13}(x) = R_0(x) = R_{13}(x) = x, \tag{1.7a}$$

$$T_0(\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} *x & * \\ * & *x \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} *x & * \\ * & *x \end{pmatrix} . \Phi(x) = B_{T0} . \Phi, \tag{1.7b}$$

$$T_{13}(\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} *x & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} *x & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \Phi(x) = B_{T13} . \Phi, \tag{1.7c}$$

$$R_0(\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} *x & * \\ * & *x \end{pmatrix} . \Phi(x) = B_{R0} . \Phi, \tag{1.7d}$$

$$R_{13}(\Phi) = \begin{pmatrix} *x & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \Phi(x) = B_{R13} . \Phi, \tag{1.7e}$$

where the 2×2 matrices $B_{T0}=B_{T0}(x)$, $B_{T13}=B_{T13}(x)$, $B_{R0}=B_{R0}(x)$ and $B_{R13}=B_{R13}(x)$ are given by (4.29). Equations (1.6) and (1.7) provide us with the deformation of the spectral problem.

Theorem 1.3. *The compatibility conditions of the linear equation* (1.4) *with the operators* T_0, T_{13}, R_0 *and* R_{13}:

$$T_0(A).B_{T0}=B_{T0}(px).A,\quad T_{13}(A).B_{T13}=B_{T13}(px).A, \tag{1.8a}$$
$$R_0(A).B_{R0}=B_{R0}(px).A,\quad R_{13}(A).B_{R13}=B_{R13}(px).A, \tag{1.8b}$$

are equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} T_0(f_1^{(3)})f_1^{(3)}=\dfrac{b_1(b_3+pb_1{}^2f_1^{(1)})(-b_0b_2b_3{}^{1/2}+pb_1{}^3f_1^{(1)})}{p^4b_0{}^4b_3{}^2(pb_0{}^2b_3+b_1{}^2f_1^{(1)})},\\ T_0{}^{-1}(f_1^{(1)})f_1^{(1)}=\dfrac{pb_0(b_3{}^{-1}+pb_0{}^2f_1^{(3)})(-p^{-1}b_1b_2b_3{}^{-1/2}+pb_0{}^3f_1^{(3)})}{b_1{}^4b_3{}^{-2}(b_1{}^2b_3{}^{-1}+pb_0{}^2f_1^{(3)})}, \end{cases} \tag{1.9a}$$

$$\begin{cases} \left(T_{13}(f_1^{(1)})f_1^{(2)}-\dfrac{b_0{}^2}{b_1{}^4}\right)\left(f_1^{(1)}f_1^{(2)}-\dfrac{b_0{}^2}{b_1{}^4}\right)=\dfrac{b_0{}^2b_2{}^2(pb_0{}^2+b_1{}^2b_3f_1^{(2)})(1+pb_1{}^2b_3f_1^{(2)})}{pb_1{}^7b_3{}^{1/2}(-b_0b_2+b_1{}^3b_3{}^{1/2}f_1^{(2)})},\\ \left(f_1^{(1)}f_1^{(2)}-\dfrac{b_0{}^2}{b_1{}^4}\right)\left(f_1^{(1)}T_{13}{}^{-1}(f_1^{(2)})-\dfrac{b_0{}^2}{b_1{}^4}\right)=\dfrac{b_0{}^2b_2{}^2(pb_0{}^2b_3+b_1{}^2f_1^{(1)})(b_3+pb_1{}^2f_1^{(1)})}{p^2b_1{}^7b_3(-b_0b_2b_3{}^{1/2}+pb_1{}^3f_1^{(1)})}, \end{cases} \tag{1.9b}$$

$$\begin{cases} R_0(f_1^{(3)})=f_1^{(1)},\\ R_0(f_1^{(1)})f_1^{(3)}=\dfrac{b_1(b_3+pb_1{}^2f_1^{(1)})(-b_0b_2b_3{}^{1/2}+pb_1{}^3f_1^{(1)})}{p^4b_0{}^4b_3{}^2(pb_0{}^2b_3+b_1{}^2f_1^{(1)})}, \end{cases} \tag{1.9c}$$

$$\begin{cases} R_{13}(f_1^{(1)})=f_1^{(2)},\\ \left(R_{13}(f_1^{(2)})f_1^{(2)}-\dfrac{b_0{}^2}{b_1{}^4}\right)\left(f_1^{(1)}f_1^{(2)}-\dfrac{b_0{}^2}{b_1{}^4}\right)=\dfrac{b_0{}^2b_2{}^2(pb_0{}^2+b_1{}^2b_3f_1^{(2)})(1+pb_1{}^2b_3f_1^{(2)})}{pb_1{}^7b_3{}^{1/2}(-b_0b_2+b_1{}^3b_3{}^{1/2}f_1^{(2)})}, \end{cases} \tag{1.9d}$$

respectively.

This theorem is proven in §4.2. The actions (1.6) and (1.9) correspond to the q-Painlevé equations (1.1).

Remark 1.4. *Equations* (1.9a) *and* (1.9b) *are equivalent to* q-$\mathrm{P_V}$ (1.1a) *and* q-$\mathrm{P_V}^*$ (1.1b) *by the following correspondences:*

$$\bar{}=T_0,\quad t=b_1{}^2,\quad c_1=-\frac{b_0b_2b_3{}^{1/2}}{pb_1},\quad c_2=\frac{b_3}{p},\quad c_3=\frac{pb_0{}^2b_3}{b_1{}^2},\quad q=p^2,$$
$$F=f_1^{(3)},\quad G=f_1^{(1)}, \tag{1.10a}$$

$$\bar{}=T_{13},\quad t=p^{1/2}b_2,\quad c_1=-\frac{b_0}{b_1{}^2},\quad c_2=\frac{b_1}{p^{1/2}b_3{}^{1/2}},\quad c_3=\frac{1}{p^{1/2}b_1b_3{}^{1/2}},$$
$$q=p^2,\quad F=-\frac{b_1{}^2}{b_0}f_1^{(1)},\quad G=-\frac{b_1{}^2}{b_0}f_1^{(2)}, \tag{1.10b}$$

respectively. Moreover, letting

$$b_1=p^{1/2}b_0,\quad b_3=1, \tag{1.11}$$

and setting

$$\tilde{}=R_0,\quad t=b_1{}^2,\quad c_1=-\frac{b_2}{p^{3/2}},\quad G=f_1^{(1)}, \tag{1.12}$$

we obtain q-$\mathrm{P_{III}}(D_7^{(1)})$ (1.1c) *from the action* (1.9c)*. Similarly, by letting*

$$b_3 = 1, \tag{1.13}$$

and setting

$$\tilde{} = R_{13}, \quad t = p^{1/2} b_2, \quad c_1 = -\frac{b_0}{{b_1}^2}, \quad c_2 = \frac{b_1}{p^{1/2}}, \quad G = -\frac{{b_1}^2}{b_0} f_1^{(2)}, \tag{1.14}$$

the action (1.9d) *gives* q-$\mathrm{P_{IV}}$ (1.1d)*.*

1.3. **Background.** In the 1900s, in order to find new class of special functions, Painlevé and Gambier classified all differential equations in the form of $y'' = F(y', y, t)$, where $y = y(t)$, $' = d/dt$ and F is a rational function, by imposing the condition that the solutions should admit only poles as movable singular points. As a result, they showed that the resulting equations can be reduced to one of the six equations, which are now called the Painlevé I through VI equations, unless it can be integrated algebraically, or transformed into a simpler equations such as a linear equation or the differential equation of elliptic functions. Moreover, it is known that Painlevé equations can be classified into eight types by the geometrical classification of space of initial conditions [42, 43, 55]. From the view point of this classification, $\mathrm{P_{III}}$ can be divided into $\mathrm{P_{III}}(D_6^{(1)})$, $\mathrm{P_{III}}(D_7^{(1)})$ and $\mathrm{P_{III}}(D_8^{(1)})$ by the values of parameters.

Discrete Painlevé equations are nonlinear ordinary difference equations of second order, which include discrete analogues of the Painlevé equations. The geometric classification of discrete Painlevé equations, based on types of rational surfaces connected to affine Weyl groups, is well known [55]. Together with the Painlevé equations, they are now regarded as one of the most important classes of equations in the theory of integrable systems (see, e.g., [13, 30]).

It is well known that the τ functions, which gives rise to various bilinear equations, play a crucial role in the theory of integrable systems [34]. The same is true in the theory of continuous and discrete Painlevé equations [19–21, 40, 44–47]. A representation of the affine Weyl groups can be lifted to the level of the τ functions [25–27, 32, 33, 60, 62].

Discrete Painlevé equations are called integrable because they arise as compatibility conditions of associated linear problems called Lax pairs. The search for and construction of Lax pairs of discrete Painlevé equations has been a very active research area. Noteworthy approaches include extensions of Birkhoff's study of linear q-difference equations [22, 56, 57], periodic-type reductions from ABS equations or the discrete KP/UC hierarchy [15, 16, 23, 31, 48, 50, 51, 53, 61], extensions of Schlesinger transformations [4, 10, 11], search for linearizable curves in the space of initial values [65, 66], Padé approximation or interpolation [18, 36, 41] and the theory of orthogonal polynomials [3, 5, 9, 52, 63, 64].

1.4. **Plan of the paper.** This paper is organized as follows: in §2, we introduce the τ functions of $A_4^{(1)}$-surface q-Painlevé equations, which have the extended affine Weyl group symmetry $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$, and show that the q-Painlevé equations (1.1) can be derived from a birational representation of $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$. Moreover, we construct the ω-lattice of type $A_4^{(1)}$ and then derive various quad-equations of ABS type, as relations on the ω-lattice. In §3, we construct the lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$ and show its properties. In §4, we give the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 by using the geometric reduction from the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^4$ with the integrable PΔEs to the lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$. Some concluding remarks are given in §5.

2. Construction of the ω-lattice of type $A_4^{(1)}$

In this section, we define τ functions by using the transformation group $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$. Then, we derive the q-Painlevé equations (1.1) and construct the ω-lattice of type $A_4^{(1)}$ from the τ functions.

For convenience, throughout this paper we use the following notation for compositions of arbitrary mappings w_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$:

$$w_1 \cdots w_n := w_1 \circ \cdots \circ w_n. \tag{2.1}$$

2.1. τ **functions.** In this section, we define the τ functions by using the transformation group $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)}) = \langle s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, \sigma, \iota \rangle$, which forms the extended affine Weyl group of type $A_4^{(1)}$ (see Appendix A).

Below, we describe the actions of $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ on the five parameters $a_0, \dots, a_4 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and on the ten variables $\tau_i^{(j)}$, $i = 1, 2$, $j = 1, \dots, 5$, which satisfy the following three relations:

$$\tau_2^{(1)} = \frac{a_0 a_1 (a_3 \tau_1^{(3)} \tau_1^{(5)} + a_0 \tau_1^{(4)} \tau_2^{(3)})}{a_2 {a_3}^2 \tau_2^{(5)}}, \tag{2.2a}$$

$$\tau_2^{(2)} = \frac{a_1 a_2 (a_4 \tau_1^{(1)} \tau_1^{(4)} + a_1 \tau_1^{(5)} \tau_2^{(4)})}{a_3 {a_4}^2 \tau_2^{(1)}}, \tag{2.2b}$$

$$\tau_2^{(4)} = \frac{a_3 a_4 (a_1 \tau_1^{(1)} \tau_1^{(3)} + a_3 \tau_1^{(2)} \tau_2^{(1)})}{a_0 {a_1}^2 \tau_2^{(3)}}. \tag{2.2c}$$

Remark 2.1. *Below we use the index j to denote an element of $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ with a slightly different enumeration for transformations $s_0, \dots, s_4$, parameters $a_0, \dots, a_4$ and variables $\tau_i^{(1)}, \dots, \tau_i^{(5)}$ $(i = 1, 2)$. To avoid confusion, we point out, for example, that $j = 0$ for s_j and a_j would imply $j = 5$ for $\tau_i^{(j)}$.*

Lemma 2.2. *The action of $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ on the parameters are given by*

$$s_i(a_j) = a_j {a_i}^{-a_{ij}}, \quad \sigma(a_i) = a_{i+1}, \quad \iota(a_i) = {a_{-i}}^{-1}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ and

$$(a_{ij})_{i,j=0}^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.4}$$

is the Cartan matrix of type $A_4^{(1)}$, while their actions on the variables are given by

$$s_j(\tau_1^{(j)}) = \tau_2^{(j+4)}, \quad s_j(\tau_2^{(j+3)}) = \frac{a_{j+3} a_{j+4} (a_j a_{j+1} \tau_1^{(j+1)} \tau_1^{(j+3)} + a_{j+3} \tau_1^{(j+2)} \tau_2^{(j+1)})}{{a_{j+1}}^2 \tau_1^{(j)}}, \tag{2.5a}$$

$$s_j(\tau_2^{(j+4)}) = \tau_1^{(j)}, \quad s_j(\tau_2^{(j)}) = \frac{a_{j+4} (a_{j+2} \tau_1^{(j+2)} \tau_1^{(j+4)} + a_j a_{j+4} \tau_1^{(j+3)} \tau_2^{(j+2)})}{a_j a_{j+1} {a_{j+2}}^2 \tau_1^{(j)}}, \tag{2.5b}$$

$$\sigma(\tau_1^{(j)}) = \tau_1^{(j+1)}, \quad \sigma(\tau_2^{(j)}) = \tau_2^{(j+1)}, \quad \iota(\tau_1^{(j)}) = \tau_1^{(5-j)}, \quad \iota(\tau_2^{(j)}) = \tau_2^{(3-j)}, \tag{2.5c}$$

where $j \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$. In general, for a function $F = F(a_i, \tau_j^{(k)})$, we let an element $w \in \widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ act as $w.F = F(w.a_i, w.\tau_j^{(k)})$, that is, w acts on the arguments from the left.

The proof of Lemma 2.2 is given in Appendix A.

Remark 2.3. *The action of $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ in Lemma 2.2 was first obtained by Tsuda in [60] without the details of the proof. The notations in this paper are related to those in [60] by*

the following correspondence:

$$(s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, \sigma, \iota) \to (s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, \pi^3, \iota), \tag{2.6a}$$
$$(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, q) \to (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, q), \tag{2.6b}$$
$$(\tau_1^{(1)}, \tau_1^{(2)}, \tau_1^{(3)}, \tau_1^{(4)}, \tau_1^{(5)}) \to (\tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_6, \tau_5, \tau_7), \tag{2.6c}$$
$$(\tau_2^{(1)}, \tau_2^{(2)}, \tau_2^{(3)}, \tau_2^{(4)}, \tau_2^{(5)}) \to (\pi^3(\tau_1), \pi(\tau_1), \tau_4, \pi^3(\tau_4), \tau_1). \tag{2.6d}$$

We also note that in [60] each element $w \in \widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ acts on the arguments from the right, whereas in the present paper it acts from the left.

To iterate each variable $\tau_i^{(j)}$, we need the following transformations:

$$T_0 = \sigma s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, \quad T_1 = \sigma s_0 s_4 s_3 s_2, \quad T_2 = \sigma s_1 s_0 s_4 s_3, \tag{2.7a}$$
$$T_3 = \sigma s_2 s_1 s_0 s_4, \quad T_4 = \sigma s_3 s_2 s_1 s_0, \tag{2.7b}$$

which are translations on the root lattice $\hat{Q}(A_4^{(1)})$ (A.8) (see Appendix A). Note that T_i, $i = 0, \dots, 4$, commute with each other and

$$T_0 T_1 T_2 T_3 T_4 = 1. \tag{2.8}$$

Their actions on the parameters are given by

$$T_i(a_i) = q a_i, \quad T_i(a_{i+1}) = q^{-1} a_{i+1}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.9}$$

where $q = a_0 a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4$ is invariant under the actions of T_i. We define τ functions by

$$\tau_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} = {T_0}^{l_0} {T_1}^{l_1} {T_2}^{l_2} {T_3}^{l_3} {T_4}^{l_4} (\tau_2^{(3)}), \tag{2.10}$$

where $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We note that

$$\tau_1^{(1)} = \tau_{1,0,0,1,0}, \quad \tau_1^{(2)} = \tau_{1,1,0,1,0}, \quad \tau_1^{(3)} = \tau_{1,1,1,1,0}, \quad \tau_1^{(4)} = \tau_{1,1,1,2,0}, \tag{2.11a}$$
$$\tau_1^{(5)} = \tau_{0,0,0,1,0}, \quad \tau_2^{(1)} = \tau_{1,0,1,1,0}, \quad \tau_2^{(2)} = \tau_{1,1,0,2,0}, \quad \tau_2^{(3)} = \tau_{0,0,0,0,0}, \tag{2.11b}$$
$$\tau_2^{(4)} = \tau_{2,1,1,2,0}, \quad \tau_2^{(5)} = \tau_{0,1,0,1,0}. \tag{2.11c}$$

2.2. **Discrete Painlevé equations.** In this section, we define the f-variables by rational functions of the τ-variables. Then, we demonstrate that elements of infinite order of $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ give various q-Painlevé equations.

Let us define the ten f-variables by

$$f_1^{(j)} = \frac{\tau_1^{(j+1)} \tau_2^{(j)}}{\tau_1^{(j)} \tau_1^{(j+2)}}, \quad f_2^{(j)} = s_{j+2}(f_1^{(j)}) = \frac{a_j a_{j+1} (a_{j+2} a_{j+3} + a_j f_1^{(j+3)})}{{a_{j+3}}^2 f_1^{(j+1)}}, \tag{2.12}$$

where $j \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$. From the definition above and the relations (2.2), the following relations hold:

$$a_{j+2} {a_{j+3}}^2 f_1^{(j)} f_1^{(j+1)} = a_j a_{j+1} (a_{j+3} + a_j f_1^{(j+3)}), \tag{2.13}$$

where $j \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$. The relations above look like five equations, but the relations represent only three. Therefore, there are only two essential f-variables. The action of $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ on these variables $f_i^{(j)}$ is given by the lemma below, which follows from the actions (2.5).

Lemma 2.4. *The action of $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ on variables $f_i^{(j)}$ is given by*

$$s_j(f_1^{(j+3)}) = f_2^{(j+3)}, \quad s_j(f_1^{(j)}) = \frac{a_{j+4}(a_{j+2} + a_j a_{j+4} f_1^{(j+2)})}{a_j a_{j+1} {a_{j+2}}^2 f_1^{(j+4)}}, \quad s_j(f_2^{(j+3)}) = f_1^{(j+3)}, \tag{2.14a}$$
$$s_j(f_2^{(j+2)}) = \frac{a_j a_{j+3} a_{j+4} (a_{j+2} + a_j a_{j+4} f_1^{(j+2)} + a_j a_{j+1} a_{j+2} f_1^{(j+4)})}{a_{j+1} f_1^{(j+4)} f_2^{(j+3)}}, \tag{2.14b}$$
$$s_j(f_2^{(j+4)}) = \frac{a_j a_{j+1} {a_{j+2}}^2 f_1^{(j+4)} f_1^{(j)} f_2^{(j+4)}}{a_{j+4}(a_{j+2} + a_j a_{j+4} f_1^{(j+2)})}, \tag{2.14c}$$

$$s_j(f_2^{(j)}) = \frac{a_j a_{j+1} a_{j+4} + a_{j+3} a_{j+4} f_1^{(j+1)} + a_j {a_{j+1}}^2 a_{j+2} f_1^{(j+4)}}{a_j a_{j+1} a_{j+3} f_1^{(j+1)} f_1^{(j+4)}}, \quad \sigma(f_1^{(j)}) = f_1^{(j+1)}, \tag{2.14d}$$

$$\sigma(f_2^{(j)}) = f_2^{(j+1)}, \quad \iota(f_1^{(j)}) = f_1^{(3-j)}, \quad \iota(f_2^{(j)}) = \frac{a_{2-j}(a_{5-j} + a_{2-j} a_{3-j} f_1^{(5-j)})}{a_{3-j} a_{4-j} {a_{5-j}}^2 f_1^{(2-j)}}, \tag{2.14e}$$

where $j \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$.

It is well known that the translation part of $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ give discrete Painlevé equations [55]. For examples, from the translations T_i, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, we obtain q-$\mathrm{P_V}$ (1.1a) and from the translations $T_i T_j$, $0 \le i < j \le 4$, we obtain q-$\mathrm{P_V}^*$ (1.1b). Indeed, the action of T_0:

$$T_0 : (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \mapsto (q a_0, q^{-1} a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4), \tag{2.15a}$$

$$T_0(f_1^{(3)}) f_1^{(3)} = \frac{a_3}{{a_0}^2 {a_1}^2 a_4} \frac{(a_1 + a_3 a_4 f_1^{(1)})(a_1 + a_3 f_1^{(1)})}{a_0 a_1 + a_3 f_1^{(1)}}, \tag{2.15b}$$

$$T_0^{-1}(f_1^{(1)}) f_1^{(1)} = \frac{a_0 {a_1}^3}{{a_3}^2} \frac{(a_2 a_3 + a_0 f_1^{(3)})(a_3 + a_0 f_1^{(3)})}{a_3 + a_0 a_1 f_1^{(3)}}, \tag{2.15c}$$

leads to q-$\mathrm{P_V}$ (1.1a) by the correspondences (1.10a) and

$$b_0 = \frac{{a_0}^{1/2}}{q^{1/2}}, \quad b_1 = \frac{1}{{a_1}^{1/2} {a_2}^{1/2}}, \quad b_2 = -\frac{q^{1/4}}{{a_2}^{1/2} {a_4}^{1/2}}, \tag{2.16a}$$

$$b_3 = \frac{a_0 a_1 a_4}{q^{1/2}}, \quad p = q^{1/2}, \tag{2.16b}$$

or, equivalently,

$$a_0 = p^2 {b_0}^2, \quad a_1 = -\frac{b_2 {b_3}^{1/2}}{p b_0 b_1}, \quad a_2 = -\frac{p b_0}{b_1 b_2 {b_3}^{1/2}}, \quad a_3 = -\frac{b_1 b_2}{b_0 {b_3}^{1/2}}, \tag{2.16c}$$

$$a_4 = -\frac{b_1 {b_3}^{1/2}}{b_0 b_2}, \quad q = p^2. \tag{2.16d}$$

Moreover, the action of $T_{13} = T_1 T_3$:

$$T_{13} : (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \mapsto (a_0, q a_1, q^{-1} a_2, q a_3, q^{-1} a_4), \tag{2.17a}$$

$$\left(T_{13}(f_1^{(1)}) f_1^{(2)} - \frac{a_1 a_2}{a_3 a_4}\right)\left(f_1^{(1)} f_1^{(2)} - \frac{a_1 a_2}{a_3 a_4}\right) = \frac{{a_1}^3 a_2}{a_3 {a_4}^2} \frac{(a_2 + a_0 a_4 f_1^{(2)})(a_2 + a_4 f_1^{(2)})}{a_1 a_2 + a_4 f_1^{(2)}}, \tag{2.17b}$$

$$\left(f_1^{(1)} f_1^{(2)} - \frac{a_1 a_2}{a_3 a_4}\right)\left(f_1^{(1)} T_{13}^{-1}(f_1^{(2)}) - \frac{a_1 a_2}{a_3 a_4}\right) = \frac{a_1 a_2}{a_0 {a_3}^2 {a_4}^2} \frac{(a_1 + a_3 f_1^{(1)})(a_0 a_1 + a_3 f_1^{(1)})}{a_1 + a_3 a_4 f_1^{(1)}}, \tag{2.17c}$$

gives q-$\mathrm{P_V}^*$ (1.1b) by the correspondences (1.10b) and (2.16).

It is also known that discrete Painlevé equations can be obtained from elements of infinite order of $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ which are not necessarily translations of $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ [29, 58]. We here show that how q-$\mathrm{P_{III}}(D_7^{(1)})$ (1.1c) and q-$\mathrm{P_{IV}}$ (1.1d) can be derived from the actions of $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$. Let

$$R_0 = \sigma^3 s_2 s_1, \quad R_{13} = \sigma s_0 s_2 s_4, \tag{2.18}$$

where ${R_0}^2 = T_0$ and ${R_{13}}^2 = T_{13}$. Actions of these transformations in the parameter space are not translational motion:

$$R_0 : (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \mapsto (a_0 a_3 a_4, q^{-1} a_1 a_2 a_3, a_4, a_0 a_1, a_2), \tag{2.19a}$$

$$R_{13} : (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \mapsto (a_0, a_1 a_2 a_3, {a_3}^{-1}, q {a_2}^{-1}, q^{-1} a_2 a_3 a_4), \tag{2.19b}$$

but under the special values of the parameters these actions become translational motion. Indeed, by imposing

$$a_2 = a_4, \quad a_3 = a_0 a_1, \tag{2.20}$$

which implies

$$q^{1/2} = a_2 a_3 = a_0 a_1 a_2 = a_3 a_4 = a_0 a_1 a_4, \tag{2.21}$$

the action of R_0 becomes

$$R_0 : (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \mapsto (q^{1/2} a_0, q^{-1/2} a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4). \tag{2.22}$$

Similarly, under the condition of the parameters

$$q^{1/2} = a_2 a_3 = a_0 a_1 a_4, \tag{2.23}$$

the action of R_{13} becomes

$$R_{13} : (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \mapsto (a_0, q^{1/2} a_1, q^{-1/2} a_2, q^{1/2} a_3, q^{-1/2} a_4). \tag{2.24}$$

Therefore, the action of R_0:

$$R_0(f_1^{(3)}) = f_1^{(1)}, \quad R_0(f_1^{(1)}) f_1^{(3)} = \frac{a_3}{{a_0}^2 {a_1}^2 a_4} \frac{(a_1 + a_3 a_4 f_1^{(1)})(a_1 + a_3 f_1^{(1)})}{a_0 a_1 + a_3 f_1^{(1)}}, \tag{2.25}$$

with the condition (2.20), gives q-$\mathrm{P_{III}}(D_7^{(1)})$ (1.1c) by the correspondences (1.12) and (2.16). Moreover, the action of R_{13}:

$$R_{13}(f_1^{(1)}) = f_1^{(2)}, \tag{2.26a}$$

$$\left(R_{13}(f_1^{(2)}) f_1^{(2)} - \frac{a_1 a_2}{a_3 a_4}\right)\left(f_1^{(2)} f_1^{(1)} - \frac{a_1 a_2}{a_3 a_4}\right) = \frac{{a_1}^3 a_2}{a_3 {a_4}^2} \frac{(a_0 a_4 f_1^{(2)} + a_2)(a_4 f_1^{(2)} + a_2)}{a_4 f_1^{(2)} + a_1 a_2}, \tag{2.26b}$$

with the condition (2.23), gives q-$\mathrm{P_{IV}}$ (1.1d) by the correspondences (1.14) and (2.16).

2.3. **ω-lattice.** In this section, we define the ω-variables by the ratios of the τ-variables and then construct the ω-lattice of type $A_4^{(1)}$.

Let us define the fifteen ω-variables by

$$\omega_1^{(j)} = \frac{\tau_1^{(j)}}{\tau_1^{(j+1)}}, \quad \omega_2^{(j)} = \frac{\tau_2^{(j)}}{\tau_1^{(j+2)}}, \quad \omega_3^{(j)} = \frac{\tau_1^{(j-1)}}{\tau_2^{(j-1)}}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.27}$$

which satisfy

$$f_1^{(j)} = \frac{\omega_2^{(j)}}{\omega_1^{(j)}}, \quad f_2^{(j)} = \frac{a_j a_{j+1}}{{a_{j+3}}^2} \frac{\omega_1^{(j+1)}(a_{j+2} a_{j+3} \omega_1^{(j+3)} + a_j \omega_2^{(j+3)})}{\omega_1^{(j+3)} \omega_2^{(j+1)}}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.28}$$

From the definition above and the relations (2.2), they satisfy the following nine relations:

$$\omega_2^{(5)} = \frac{\omega_1^{(1)} \omega_1^{(5)}}{\omega_3^{(1)}}, \quad \omega_3^{(5)} = \frac{\omega_1^{(4)} \omega_1^{(5)}}{\omega_2^{(4)}}, \quad \omega_2^{(2)} = \frac{\omega_1^{(2)} \omega_1^{(3)}}{\omega_3^{(3)}}, \quad \omega_2^{(3)} = \frac{\omega_1^{(3)} \omega_1^{(4)}}{\omega_3^{(4)}}, \tag{2.29a}$$

$$\omega_1^{(5)} = \frac{1}{\omega_1^{(1)} \omega_1^{(2)} \omega_1^{(3)} \omega_1^{(4)}}, \quad \omega_1^{(2)} = \frac{\omega_2^{(1)} \omega_3^{(2)}}{\omega_1^{(1)}}, \tag{2.29b}$$

$$\omega_1^{(3)} = \frac{a_2 \omega_3^{(3)}(a_1 a_2 \omega_1^{(1)} \omega_2^{(4)} - a_0 a_4 \omega_1^{(4)} \omega_3^{(1)})}{a_0 {a_4}^2 \omega_1^{(4)} \omega_3^{(1)}}, \tag{2.29c}$$

$$\omega_1^{(4)} = \frac{a_3 \omega_3^{(4)}(a_2 a_3 \omega_2^{(1)} - a_0 a_1 \omega_3^{(1)})}{a_1 {a_0}^2 \omega_3^{(1)}}, \quad \omega_2^{(4)} = \frac{a_3 a_4 \omega_3^{(4)}(a_1 \omega_1^{(1)} + a_3 \omega_2^{(1)})}{a_0 {a_1}^2 \omega_1^{(1)}}. \tag{2.29d}$$

By inspection, we see that there are six essential ω-variables. The action of $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ on the ω-variables is given by the lemma below, which follows from the action (2.5) and the definition (2.27).

Lemma 2.5. *The action of $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ on the fifteen ω-variables is given by*

$$s_j(\omega_1^{(j+4)}) = \omega_3^{(j)}, \quad s_j(\omega_1^{(j)}) = \omega_2^{(j+4)}, \quad s_j(\omega_3^{(j)}) = \omega_1^{(j+4)}, \quad s_j(\omega_2^{(j+4)}) = \omega_1^{(j)}, \tag{2.30a}$$

$$s_j(\omega_2^{(j+3)}) = \frac{a_{j+3}a_{j+4}\omega_1^{(j+3)}\omega_1^{(j+4)}(a_j a_{j+1}\omega_1^{(j+1)} + a_3\omega_2^{(j+1)})}{{a_{j+1}}^2\omega_1^{(j+1)}\omega_2^{(j+4)}}, \tag{2.30b}$$

$$s_j(\omega_2^{(j)}) = \frac{a_{j+4}\omega_1^{(j+4)}(a_4a_0\omega_2^{(j+2)} + a_2\omega_1^{(j+2)})}{a_j a_{j+1}{a_{j+2}}^2\omega_1^{(j+2)}}, \tag{2.30c}$$

$$s_j(\omega_3^{(j+1)}) = \frac{a_j a_{j+1}{a_{j+2}}^2\omega_1^{(j+1)}\omega_1^{(j+2)}\omega_2^{(j+4)}}{a_{j+4}\omega_1^{(j+4)}(a_{j+2}\omega_1^{(j+2)} + a_j a_{j+4}\omega_2^{(j+2)})}, \tag{2.30d}$$

$$s_j(\omega_3^{(j+4)}) = \frac{{a_{j+1}}^2\omega_1^{(j+1)}\omega_1^{(j)}}{a_{j+3}a_{j+4}(a_j a_{j+1}\omega_1^{(j+1)} + a_{j+3}\omega_2^{(j+1)})}, \tag{2.30e}$$

$$\sigma(\omega_1^{(j)}) = \omega_1^{(j+1)}, \quad \sigma(\omega_2^{(j)}) = \omega_2^{(j+1)}, \quad \sigma(\omega_3^{(j)}) = \omega_3^{(j+1)}, \tag{2.30f}$$

$$\iota(\omega_1^{(j)}) = \frac{1}{\omega_1^{(4-j)}}, \quad \iota(\omega_2^{(j)}) = \frac{1}{\omega_3^{(4-j)}}, \quad \iota(\omega_3^{(j)}) = \frac{1}{\omega_2^{(4-j)}}, \tag{2.30g}$$

where $j \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$.

We define ω-functions by

$$\omega^{(j)}_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} = {T_0}^{l_0}{T_1}^{l_1}{T_2}^{l_2}{T_3}^{l_3}{T_4}^{l_4}(\omega_3^{(j)}), \tag{2.31}$$

where $j = 1, \ldots, 5$ and $l_0, \ldots, l_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$. We note that

$$\omega_1^{(1)} = \omega^{(1)}_{1,0,0,0,0}, \quad \omega_2^{(1)} = \omega^{(1)}_{1,0,1,0,0}, \quad \omega_3^{(1)} = \omega^{(1)}_{0,0,0,0,0}, \tag{2.32a}$$

$$\omega_1^{(2)} = \omega^{(2)}_{0,1,0,0,0}, \quad \omega_2^{(2)} = \omega^{(2)}_{0,1,0,1,0}, \quad \omega_3^{(2)} = \omega^{(2)}_{0,0,0,0,0}, \tag{2.32b}$$

$$\omega_1^{(3)} = \omega^{(3)}_{0,0,1,0,0}, \quad \omega_2^{(3)} = \omega^{(3)}_{0,0,1,0,1}, \quad \omega_3^{(3)} = \omega^{(3)}_{0,0,0,0,0}, \tag{2.32c}$$

$$\omega_1^{(4)} = \omega^{(4)}_{0,0,0,1,0}, \quad \omega_2^{(4)} = \omega^{(4)}_{1,0,0,1,0}, \quad \omega_3^{(4)} = \omega^{(4)}_{0,0,0,0,0}, \tag{2.32d}$$

$$\omega_1^{(5)} = \omega^{(5)}_{0,0,0,0,1}, \quad \omega_2^{(5)} = \omega^{(5)}_{0,1,0,0,1}, \quad \omega_3^{(5)} = \omega^{(5)}_{0,0,0,0,0}. \tag{2.32e}$$

Now we are in a position to construct the ω-lattice of type $A_4^{(1)}$. Let us consider the following lattice (see Figure 1):

$$\sum_{i=0}^{4} l_i \boldsymbol{v}_i \subset \mathbb{Z}^5, \tag{2.33}$$

whose vertices $\boldsymbol{v}_i$, $i = 0, \ldots, 4$, are defined by

$$\boldsymbol{v}_0 = (-1,-1,-1,-1,4), \quad \boldsymbol{v}_1 = (4,-1,-1,-1,-1), \quad \boldsymbol{v}_2 = (-1,4,-1,-1,-1), \tag{2.34a}$$

$$\boldsymbol{v}_3 = (-1,-1,4,-1,-1), \quad \boldsymbol{v}_4 = (-1,-1,-1,4,-1), \tag{2.34b}$$

and satisfy $\boldsymbol{v}_0 + \boldsymbol{v}_1 + \boldsymbol{v}_2 + \boldsymbol{v}_3 + \boldsymbol{v}_4 = \boldsymbol{0}$. For simplicity, we here use the following notation:

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{k_1 \ldots k_n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{v}_{k_i}, \quad k_i \in \{0, \ldots, 4\}. \tag{2.35}$$

Let us assign the τ functions $\tau_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}$ and the ω-functions $\omega^{(j)}_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}$ to the vertices and the edges of the lattice (2.33) by the following correspondence:

$$\tau_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} \leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{l} + \boldsymbol{v}_{124}, \tag{2.36a}$$
$$\omega^{(1)}_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{l} + \boldsymbol{v}_{1234}; 1), \tag{2.36b}$$
$$\omega^{(2)}_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{l}; 2), \tag{2.36c}$$
$$\omega^{(3)}_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{l} + \boldsymbol{v}_{1}; 3), \tag{2.36d}$$
$$\omega^{(4)}_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{l} + \boldsymbol{v}_{12}; 4), \tag{2.36e}$$
$$\omega^{(5)}_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{l} + \boldsymbol{v}_{123}; 0), \tag{2.36f}$$

where $\boldsymbol{l} = \sum_{i=0}^{4} l_i \boldsymbol{v}_i$. Here, $\mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{A}; i)$ is a edge connecting a vertex $\boldsymbol{A}$ to a vertex $(\boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{v}_i)$. We refer to the lattice (2.33) with the ω-functions $\omega^{(j)}_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}$ as ω-lattice of type $A^{(1)}_4$. We note that the configurations of the τ-variables on the ω-lattice are given by

$$(\tau^{(1)}_1, \tau^{(2)}_1, \tau^{(3)}_1, \tau^{(4)}_1, \tau^{(5)}_1) \leftrightarrow (\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{v}_1, \boldsymbol{v}_{12}, \boldsymbol{v}_{123}, \boldsymbol{v}_{1234}), \tag{2.37a}$$
$$(\tau^{(1)}_2, \tau^{(2)}_2, \tau^{(3)}_2, \tau^{(4)}_2, \tau^{(5)}_2) \leftrightarrow (\boldsymbol{v}_2, \boldsymbol{v}_{13}, \boldsymbol{v}_{124}, \boldsymbol{v}_{0123}, \boldsymbol{v}_{11234}), \tag{2.37b}$$

while those of the ω-variables are given by

$$(\omega^{(1)}_1, \omega^{(1)}_2, \omega^{(1)}_3) \leftrightarrow \big(\mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{0}; 1), \mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{v}_2; 1), \mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{v}_{1234}; 1)\big), \tag{2.38a}$$
$$(\omega^{(2)}_1, \omega^{(2)}_2, \omega^{(2)}_3) \leftrightarrow \big(\mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{v}_1; 2), \mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{v}_{13}; 2), \mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{0}; 2)\big), \tag{2.38b}$$
$$(\omega^{(3)}_1, \omega^{(3)}_2, \omega^{(3)}_3) \leftrightarrow \big(\mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{v}_{12}; 3), \mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{v}_{124}; 3), \mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{v}_1; 3)\big), \tag{2.38c}$$
$$(\omega^{(4)}_1, \omega^{(4)}_2, \omega^{(4)}_3) \leftrightarrow \big(\mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{v}_{123}; 4), \mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{v}_{0123}; 4), \mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{v}_{12}; 4)\big), \tag{2.38d}$$
$$(\omega^{(5)}_1, \omega^{(5)}_2, \omega^{(5)}_3) \leftrightarrow \big(\mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{v}_{1234}; 0), \mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{v}_{11234}; 0), \mathrm{edge}(\boldsymbol{v}_{123}; 0)\big). \tag{2.38e}$$

On the ω-lattice various quad-equations of ABS-type can be derived, e.g.

$$\frac{T_0T_2(\omega^{(1)}_3)}{\omega^{(1)}_3} = \frac{a_0}{a_2a_3}\frac{(1-a_2){a_1}^2a_2T_0(\omega^{(1)}_3) + (1-a_1)T_2(\omega^{(1)}_3)}{a_1a_2T_0(\omega^{(1)}_3) - T_2(\omega^{(1)}_3)}, \tag{2.39a}$$
$$\frac{T_0T_3(\omega^{(1)}_3)}{\omega^{(1)}_3} = \frac{a_0}{a_2}\frac{{a_1}^2a_2a_3(1-a_2a_3)T_0(\omega^{(1)}_3) + (1-a_1)T_3(\omega^{(1)}_3)}{a_1a_2a_3T_0(\omega^{(1)}_3) - T_3(\omega^{(1)}_3)}, \tag{2.39b}$$
$$\frac{T_0T_4(\omega^{(1)}_3)}{\omega^{(1)}_3} = \frac{a_0a_4}{a_2}\frac{{a_1}^2a_2a_3a_4(1-a_2a_3a_4)T_0(\omega^{(1)}_3) + (1-a_1)T_4(\omega^{(1)}_3)}{a_1a_2a_3a_4T_0(\omega^{(1)}_3) - T_4(\omega^{(1)}_3)}, \tag{2.39c}$$
$$\frac{T_2T_3(\omega^{(1)}_3)}{\omega^{(1)}_3} = a_0{a_1}^2\frac{a_3(1-a_2a_3)T_2(\omega^{(1)}_3) - (1-a_2)T_3(\omega^{(1)}_3)}{a_3T_2(\omega^{(1)}_3) - T_3(\omega^{(1)}_3)}, \tag{2.39d}$$
$$\frac{T_2T_4(\omega^{(1)}_3)}{\omega^{(1)}_3} = a_0{a_1}^2a_4\frac{a_3a_4(1-a_2a_3a_4)T_2(\omega^{(1)}_3) - (1-a_2)T_4(\omega^{(1)}_3)}{a_3a_4T_2(\omega^{(1)}_3) - T_4(\omega^{(1)}_3)}, \tag{2.39e}$$
$$\frac{T_3T_4(\omega^{(1)}_3)}{\omega^{(1)}_3} = a_0{a_1}^2a_3a_4\frac{a_4(1-a_2a_3a_4)T_3(\omega^{(1)}_3) - (1-a_2a_3)T_4(\omega^{(1)}_3)}{a_4T_3(\omega^{(1)}_3) - T_4(\omega^{(1)}_3)}, \tag{2.39f}$$



Figure 1. The lattice (2.33) around the origin, which is a 2-dimensional projection of the Voronoi cell of type A_4. Refer to (2.34) and (2.35) for $\boldsymbol{v}$. The directions from $\mathbf{0}$ to $\boldsymbol{v}_i$, $i = 0, \dots, 4$, correspond to the T_i-directions, $i = 0, \dots, 4$, respectively.

$$\frac{\omega_1^{(1)}}{\omega_3^{(1)}} - \frac{{a_0}^2 a_4}{{a_2}^2 a_3}\frac{\omega_2^{(3)}}{\omega_3^{(3)}} = -\frac{a_0}{a_2}, \tag{2.40a}$$

$$\frac{\omega_1^{(1)}}{\omega_3^{(1)}} - \frac{{a_0}^2 a_4}{{a_2}^2}\frac{T_4(\omega_2^{(2)})}{\omega_1^{(2)}} = -\frac{a_0}{a_2 a_3}, \tag{2.40b}$$

$$\frac{\omega_1^{(1)}}{\omega_3^{(1)}} - \frac{{a_0}^2}{{a_2}^2 a_3}\frac{\omega_1^{(4)}}{{T_2}^{-1}T_3^{-1}(\omega_1^{(4)})} = -\frac{a_0 a_4}{a_2}. \tag{2.40c}$$

Note that Equations (2.39) are relations between the ω-function $\omega^{(1)}_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}$, but Equations (2.40) are the relations between $\omega^{(1)}_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}$ and $\omega^{(3)}_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}$, $\omega^{(1)}_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}$ and $\omega^{(2)}_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}$ and $\omega^{(1)}_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}$ and $\omega^{(4)}_{l_0,l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}$, respectively. Each equation of Equations (2.39) and that of Equations (2.40) are of $H3$- and $D4$-types in the ABS classification [1, 2, 6–8], respectively. Details of the ω-lattice of type $A_4^{(1)}$ will be discussed in a forthcoming paper (N. Joshi, N. Nakazono and Y. Shi, in preparation).

3. Construction of the lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$

In this section, we consider the extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}((A_2 \rtimes A_1)^{(1)})$ given by the following six generators:

$$w_0 = s_0, \quad w_1 = s_1 s_2 s_1, \quad w_2 = s_3 s_4 s_3, \quad r_0 = \iota, \quad r_1 = \sigma\iota s_2 s_4, \quad \pi = \sigma^3 \iota s_4. \tag{3.1}$$

The details of $\widetilde{W}((A_2 \rtimes A_1)^{(1)})$ is discussed in Appendix B. Using this group, we construct another important lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$. Moreover, we show that the q-Painlevé equations (1.1) can be derived also as the relations on the lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$.

3.1. **Affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}((A_2 \rtimes A_1)^{(1)})$.** In this section, we consider the birational action of $\widetilde{W}((A_2 \rtimes A_1)^{(1)})$ on the parameters b_i, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and p defined by (2.16) and on the particular ω-variables $\omega_i^{(j)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 1, 3$, given by (2.27). We note that from the relations (2.29), these six ω-variables satisfy the following two relations:

$$\omega_2^{(1)} = \frac{b_0 b_3^{3/2} \omega_3^{(1)} (p^2 b_0^3 b_3^{1/2} \omega_2^{(3)} - b_1 b_2 \omega_1^{(3)})}{b_1^2 \omega_1^{(3)}}, \tag{3.2a}$$

$$\omega_3^{(3)} = \frac{p^2 b_0^2 b_1^2 b_3^2 \omega_2^{(3)} \omega_3^{(1)}}{\omega_1^{(1)} - p b_0 b_1 b_2 b_3^{1/2} \omega_3^{(1)}}. \tag{3.2b}$$

Therefore, essential ω-variables used here are four. The action of $\widetilde{W}((A_2 \rtimes A_1)^{(1)})$ on the parameters is given by

$$w_0 : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, p) \mapsto (b_0^{-1} p^{-2}, p^{-1} b_0^{-1} b_1, p^{-1} b_0^{-1} b_2, b_3, p), \tag{3.3a}$$

$$w_1 : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, p) \mapsto (b_0 b_1^{-1}, b_1^{-1}, b_1^{-1} b_2, b_3, p), \tag{3.3b}$$

$$w_2 : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, p) \mapsto (b_1, b_0, b_2, b_3, p), \tag{3.3c}$$

$$r_0 : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, p) \mapsto (b_0^{-1}, b_0^{-1} b_1, p^{-1} b_0^{-1} b_2, b_3^{-1}, p^{-1}), \tag{3.3d}$$

$$r_1 : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, p) \mapsto (p b_1, p b_0, p^{-1} b_2, b_3^{-1}, p^{-1}), \tag{3.3e}$$

$$\pi : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, p) \mapsto (p b_0 b_1^{-1}, b_1^{-1}, p^{-1} b_1^{-1} b_2, b_3, p^{-1}), \tag{3.3f}$$

while that on the six ω-variables is given by

$$w_0(\omega_3^{(1)}) = \frac{p \omega_1^{(3)} \omega_3^{(3)} (p b_1^2 \omega_2^{(1)} + b_3 \omega_1^{(1)})}{b_3^2 \omega_2^{(3)} (p b_1^2 b_3 \omega_1^{(3)} + \omega_3^{(3)})}, \tag{3.4a}$$

$$w_0(\omega_2^{(3)}) = \frac{p^3 b_0^2 \omega_2^{(3)} (p b_0^2 b_3 \omega_1^{(1)} + b_1^2 \omega_2^{(1)})}{p b_1^2 \omega_2^{(1)} + b_3 \omega_1^{(1)}}, \tag{3.4b}$$

$$w_1(\omega_1^{(1)}) = \frac{\omega_1^{(1)} (p b_0^2 b_3 \omega_2^{(3)} + \omega_1^{(3)})}{b_1^2 (p b_0^2 b_3 \omega_2^{(3)} + b_1^2 \omega_1^{(3)})}, \tag{3.4c}$$

$$w_1(\omega_3^{(3)}) = \frac{p b_3 \omega_3^{(1)} \omega_3^{(3)} (p b_0^2 b_3 \omega_2^{(3)} + b_1^2 \omega_1^{(3)})}{b_1 (-p b_0 b_2 b_3^{1/2} \omega_3^{(1)} \omega_3^{(3)} + p b_1^3 b_3 \omega_1^{(3)} \omega_3^{(1)} + b_1 \omega_1^{(1)} \omega_3^{(3)})}, \tag{3.4d}$$

$$w_2(\omega_2^{(1)}) = \frac{b_1 b_3 \omega_3^{(1)} (p^2 b_0^2 b_1 b_3 \omega_1^{(1)} \omega_2^{(3)} + p b_1^3 \omega_1^{(3)} \omega_2^{(1)} - b_0 b_2 b_3^{1/2} \omega_1^{(1)} \omega_1^{(3)})}{b_0^2 \omega_1^{(3)} (p b_1^2 b_3 \omega_3^{(1)} + \omega_1^{(1)})}, \tag{3.4e}$$

$$w_2(\omega_1^{(3)}) = \frac{b_1^2 \omega_1^{(3)} (p b_1^2 b_3 \omega_3^{(1)} + \omega_1^{(1)})}{b_0^2 (p b_0^2 b_3 \omega_3^{(1)} + \omega_1^{(1)})}, \quad r_0(\omega_i^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{\omega_{-i+2}^{(3)}}, \quad r_0(\omega_i^{(3)}) = \frac{1}{\omega_{-i+2}^{(1)}}, \tag{3.4f}$$

$$r_1(\omega_1^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{\omega_2^{(3)}}, \quad r_1(\omega_2^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{\omega_1^{(3)}}, \quad r_1(\omega_3^{(1)}) = \frac{-p b_0^2 b_3^2 \omega_1^{(1)}}{b_1 \omega_1^{(3)} (b_0 b_2 b_3^{1/2} \omega_1^{(1)} - p b_1^3 \omega_2^{(1)})}, \tag{3.4g}$$

$$r_1(\omega_1^{(3)}) = \frac{1}{\omega_2^{(1)}}, \quad r_1(\omega_2^{(3)}) = \frac{1}{\omega_1^{(1)}}, \tag{3.4h}$$

$$r_1(\omega_3^{(3)}) = \frac{-p^3 b_0^4 b_1 \omega_2^{(3)}}{p b_0^3 b_2 b_3^{3/2} \omega_1^{(1)} \omega_2^{(3)} + b_0 b_2 b_3^{1/2} \omega_1^{(1)} \omega_1^{(3)} - p b_1^3 \omega_2^{(1)} \omega_1^{(3)}}, \tag{3.4i}$$

$$\pi(\omega_i^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{\omega_{-i+2}^{(1)}}, \quad \pi(\omega_1^{(3)}) = \frac{1}{\omega_2^{(3)}}, \quad \pi(\omega_2^{(3)}) = \frac{1}{\omega_1^{(3)}}, \tag{3.4j}$$

$$\pi(\omega_3^{(3)}) = \frac{-p{b_0}^2{b_3}^2\omega_1^{(1)}}{b_1\omega_1^{(3)}(b_0b_2{b_3}^{1/2}\omega_1^{(1)} - p{b_1}^3\omega_2^{(1)})}, \tag{3.4k}$$

where $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, which follow from (2.3), (2.16), (2.30) and (3.1).

Let

$$\rho_1 = \pi r_0 w_1 w_2, \quad \rho_2 = \pi r_0 w_0 w_1, \quad \rho_3 = \pi r_0 w_2 w_0, \quad \rho_4 = \pi r_1 r_0 r_1. \tag{3.5}$$

Note here that the transformations ρ_i, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, are translations on the root system $Q((A_2 + A_1)^{(1)})$ (B.1) (see Appendix B for details). The translations ρ_i, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, commute with each other and

$$\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3\rho_4 = 1. \tag{3.6}$$

Their actions on the parameters are given by

$$\rho_1 : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3) \mapsto (pb_0, b_1, b_2, {b_3}^{-1}), \tag{3.7a}$$

$$\rho_2 : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3) \mapsto (b_0, pb_1, b_2, {b_3}^{-1}), \tag{3.7b}$$

$$\rho_3 : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3) \mapsto (p^{-1}b_0, p^{-1}b_1, p^{-1}b_2, {b_3}^{-1}), \tag{3.7c}$$

$$\rho_4 : (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3) \mapsto (b_0, b_1, pb_2, {b_3}^{-1}), \tag{3.7d}$$

where p is invariant under their actions.

3.2. **Lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$.** In this section, we define the ω-functions associated with the translations on the root system $Q((A_2 + A_1)^{(1)})$ and then construct the lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$.

We define ω-functions by using the translations ρ_i, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, as follows:

$$\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} = {\rho_1}^{l_1}{\rho_2}^{l_2}{\rho_3}^{l_3}{\rho_4}^{l_4}(\omega_3^{(1)}), \tag{3.8}$$

where $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We note that

$$\omega_1^{(1)} = \omega_{1,1,0,0}, \quad \omega_2^{(1)} = \omega_{2,1,1,0}, \quad \omega_3^{(1)} = \omega_{0,0,0,0}, \tag{3.9a}$$

$$\omega_1^{(3)} = \omega_{1,0,0,0}, \quad \omega_2^{(3)} = \omega_{1,1,1,0}, \quad \omega_3^{(3)} = \omega_{1,1,0,1}. \tag{3.9b}$$

Let us assign the ω-functions ω_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} to the vertices of the lattice

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{4} l_i \boldsymbol{v}_i \,\middle|\, l_1, \dots, l_4 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \tag{3.10}$$

by the following correspondence:

$$\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} \leftrightarrow l_1\boldsymbol{v}_1 + l_2\boldsymbol{v}_2 + l_3\boldsymbol{v}_3 + l_4\boldsymbol{v}_4. \tag{3.11}$$

Here, $\boldsymbol{v}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, are defined by

$$\boldsymbol{v}_1 = (1, 1, 1), \quad \boldsymbol{v}_2 = (-1, -1, 1), \quad \boldsymbol{v}_3 = (1, -1, -1), \quad \boldsymbol{v}_4 = (-1, 1, -1), \tag{3.12}$$

and satisfy $\boldsymbol{v}_1 + \boldsymbol{v}_2 + \boldsymbol{v}_3 + \boldsymbol{v}_4 = \boldsymbol{0}$. We here refer to the lattice (3.10) with the ω-functions ω_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} as lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$. We note that the configurations of the ω-variables on the lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$ are given by

$$(\omega_1^{(1)}, \omega_2^{(1)}, \omega_3^{(1)}, \omega_1^{(3)}, \omega_2^{(3)}, \omega_3^{(3)}) \leftrightarrow (\boldsymbol{v}_1 + \boldsymbol{v}_2, \boldsymbol{v}_1 - \boldsymbol{v}_4, \boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{v}_1, -\boldsymbol{v}_4, -\boldsymbol{v}_3). \tag{3.13}$$

See the example given in Figure 2 to see the quadrilateral associated with $\omega_1^{(1)}$, $\omega_3^{(1)}$, $\omega_2^{(3)}$ and $\omega_3^{(3)}$.

The 14 vertices around $\boldsymbol{l} \in \omega_{A_2+A_1}$:

$$\{\boldsymbol{l} \pm \boldsymbol{v}_i, \ \boldsymbol{l} + \boldsymbol{v}_i + \boldsymbol{v}_j \,|\, i, j = 1, \dots, 4, \ i \neq j\}, \tag{3.14}$$

collectively forms the rhombic dodecahedron (see Figure 3). Letting $\bar{V}(\boldsymbol{l})$ be the rhombic dodecahedron with the center $\boldsymbol{l} \in \omega_{A_2+A_1}$:

$$\bar{V}(\boldsymbol{l}) = \{\boldsymbol{l}\} \cup V(\boldsymbol{l}), \tag{3.15}$$



Figure 2. A quadrilateral associated with the ω-variables $\omega_1^{(1)}$, $\omega_3^{(1)}$, $\omega_2^{(3)}$ and $\omega_3^{(3)}$.



Figure 3. The rhombic dodecahedron around **0**. Refer to (3.12) for $\boldsymbol{v}$. The directions from **0** to $\boldsymbol{v}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, correspond to the ρ_i-directions, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, respectively.

then the following holds:

$$\omega_{A_2+A_1} = \bigcup_{\boldsymbol{l}\in\omega_{A_2+A_1}} \bar{V}(\boldsymbol{l}). \tag{3.16}$$

Henceforth, let us consider the quad-equations appearing on the lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$.

Lemma 3.1. *The following quad-equations hold on the lattice* $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$:

$$\frac{\omega_{l_1+1,l_2+1,l_3,l_4}}{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}} = p^{2(l_2-l_3)+1}{b_1}^2\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}\frac{\omega_{l_1+1,l_2,l_3,l_4} - p^{4(l_1-l_2)}{b_0}^4{b_1}^{-4}\omega_{l_1,l_2+1,l_3,l_4}}{p^{2(l_1-l_2)}{b_0}^2{b_1}^{-2}\omega_{l_1,l_2+1,l_3,l_4} - \omega_{l_1+1,l_2,l_3,l_4}}, \tag{3.17a}$$

$$\frac{\omega_{l_1,l_2+1,l_3+1,l_4}}{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}} = \frac{p^{2(-l_1+l_3)+1}\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}}{{b_0}^2}\frac{\omega_{l_1,l_2+1,l_3,l_4} - p^{4(l_2-l_3)}{b_1}^4\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3+1,l_4}}{p^{2(l_2-l_3)}{b_1}^2\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3+1,l_4} - \omega_{l_1,l_2+1,l_3,l_4}}, \tag{3.17b}$$

$$\frac{\omega_{l_1+1,l_2,l_3+1,l_4}}{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}} = \frac{p^{2(-l_2+l_3)+1}\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}}{{b_1}^2}\frac{\omega_{l_1+1,l_2,l_3,l_4} - p^{4(l_1-l_3)}{b_0}^4\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3+1,l_4}}{p^{2(l_1-l_3)}{b_0}^2\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3+1,l_4} - \omega_{l_1+1,l_2,l_3,l_4}}, \tag{3.17c}$$

$$\frac{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}}{\omega_{l_1+1,l_2,l_3,l_4+1}} = \left(\frac{p^{-2l_1+l_2+l_3-1}b_1\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}}{{b_0}^2}\right)^2\frac{\omega_{l_1+1,l_2,l_3,l_4}}{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4+1}} + \frac{p^{-3l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4-1}b_1b_2{\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}}^{1/2}}{{b_0}^3}, \tag{3.17d}$$

$$\frac{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}}{\omega_{l_1,l_2+1,l_3,l_4+1}} = \left(\frac{p^{l_1-2l_2+l_3-1}b_0\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}}{{b_1}^2}\right)^2\frac{\omega_{l_1,l_2+1,l_3,l_4}}{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4+1}} + \frac{p^{l_1-3l_2+l_3+l_4-1}b_0b_2{\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}}^{1/2}}{{b_1}^3}, \tag{3.17e}$$

$$\frac{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}}{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3+1,l_4+1}} = \left(p^{l_1+l_2-2l_3-1}b_0b_1\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}\right)^2 \frac{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3+1,l_4}}{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4+1}} + p^{l_1+l_2-3l_3+l_4-1}b_0b_1b_2\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}{}^{1/2}, \tag{3.17f}$$

where

$$\lambda_l = b_3{}^{(-1)^l}. \tag{3.18}$$

Note that each equation of Equations (3.17a)–(3.17c) *and that of Equations* (3.17d)–(3.17f) *are of H*3*- and D*4*-types in the ABS classification [1, 2, 6–8], respectively.*

Proof. Recalling the definitions of ρ_i given in (3.5) and the relations (3.2), we have the actions shown below:

$$\rho_2(\omega_3^{(1)}) = \frac{b_1{}^2\omega_1^{(3)}(pb_1{}^2b_3\omega_3^{(1)} + \omega_1^{(1)})}{b_0{}^2(pb_0{}^2b_3\omega_3^{(1)} + \omega_1^{(1)})}, \tag{3.19a}$$

$$\rho_3(\omega_1^{(3)}) = \frac{\omega_1^{(1)}(pb_0{}^2b_3\omega_2^{(3)} + \omega_1^{(3)})}{b_1{}^2(pb_0{}^2b_3\omega_2^{(3)} + b_1{}^2\omega_1^{(3)})}, \tag{3.19b}$$

$$\rho_3{}^{-1}(\omega_1^{(3)}) = \frac{p^3b_0{}^2\omega_3^{(1)}(pb_0{}^2\omega_3^{(3)} + b_3b_1{}^2\omega_1^{(3)})}{pb_1{}^2b_3\omega_1^{(3)} + \omega_3^{(3)}}, \tag{3.19c}$$

$$\rho_4{}^{-1}(\omega_1^{(3)}) = \omega_2^{(1)} = \frac{b_0b_3{}^{3/2}\omega_3^{(1)}(p^2b_0{}^3b_3{}^{1/2}\omega_2^{(3)} - b_1b_2\omega_1^{(3)})}{b_1{}^2\omega_1^{(3)}}, \tag{3.19d}$$

$$\rho_4(\omega_1^{(3)}) = \frac{b_0{}^2\omega_1^{(1)}\omega_3^{(3)}}{b_1b_3{}^{3/2}(b_1{}^3b_3{}^{1/2}\omega_1^{(3)} - b_0b_2\omega_3^{(3)})}. \tag{3.19e}$$

This leads to

$$\frac{\omega_1^{(1)}}{\omega_3^{(1)}} = pb_1{}^2b_3\frac{\omega_1^{(3)} - b_0{}^4b_1{}^{-4}\rho_2(\omega_3^{(1)})}{b_0{}^2b_1{}^{-2}\rho_2(\omega_3^{(1)}) - \omega_1^{(3)}}, \tag{3.20a}$$

$$\frac{\omega_2^{(3)}}{\omega_1^{(3)}} = \frac{b_3{}^{-1}}{pb_0{}^2}\frac{\omega_1^{(1)} - b_1{}^4\rho_3(\omega_1^{(3)})}{b_1{}^2\rho_3(\omega_1^{(3)}) - \omega_1^{(1)}}, \tag{3.20b}$$

$$\frac{\omega_1^{(3)}}{\omega_3^{(3)}} = \frac{b_3{}^{-1}}{pb_1{}^2}\frac{\rho_3{}^{-1}(\omega_1^{(3)}) - p^4b_0{}^4\omega_3^{(1)}}{p^2b_0{}^2\omega_3^{(1)} - \rho_3{}^{-1}(\omega_1^{(3)})}, \tag{3.20c}$$

$$\frac{\omega_2^{(3)}}{\omega_1^{(3)}} = \left(\frac{b_1b_3{}^{-1}}{pb_0{}^2}\right)^2\frac{\rho_4{}^{-1}(\omega_1^{(3)})}{\omega_3^{(1)}} + \frac{b_1b_2b_3{}^{-1/2}}{p^2b_0{}^3}, \tag{3.20d}$$

$$\frac{\omega_1^{(3)}}{\omega_3^{(3)}} = \left(\frac{b_0b_3{}^{-1}}{b_1{}^2}\right)^2\frac{\omega_1^{(1)}}{\rho_4(\omega_1^{(3)})} + \frac{b_0b_2b_3{}^{-1/2}}{b_1{}^3}, \tag{3.20e}$$

which in turn lead immediately to Equations (3.17a)–(3.17e). Moreover, we get Equation (3.17f) from the relation (3.2b) or, equivalently,

$$\frac{\omega_1^{(1)}}{\omega_3^{(1)}} = (pb_0b_1b_3)^2\frac{\omega_2^{(3)}}{\omega_3^{(3)}} + pb_0b_1b_2b_3{}^{1/2}. \tag{3.21}$$

Therefore we have completed the proof. □

Lemma 3.2. *The quad-equations* (3.17) *are fundamental relations on the lattice* $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$.

Proof. In this proof we will show that any ω-function ω_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} can be calculated by the quad-equations (3.17) with four initial values: $\omega_1^{(1)}$, $\omega_3^{(1)}$, $\omega_1^{(3)}$ and $\omega_2^{(3)}$ (or, $\omega_{1,1,0,0}$, $\omega_{0,0,0,0}$, $\omega_{1,0,0,0}$ and $\omega_{1,1,1,0}$).

First, we obtain the values of all ω-functions on $\bar{V}(\mathbf{0})$ from the initial values by the following steps.

Step 1: By using Equations (3.17a)$_{(0,0,0,0)}$, (3.17b)$_{(1,0,0,0)}$ and (3.17f)$_{(1,1,0,0)}$, the functions on $\boldsymbol{v}_2$, $\boldsymbol{v}_1+\boldsymbol{v}_3$ and $-\boldsymbol{v}_3$ can be calculated, respectively.

Step 2: By using Equations (3.17c)$_{(0,0,0,0)}$, (3.17c)$_{(0,1,0,0)}$, (3.17d)$_{(0,1,0,0)}$, (3.17e)$_{(1,0,0,0)}$ and (3.17e)$_{(1,0,1,0)}$, the functions on $\boldsymbol{v}_3$, $\boldsymbol{v}_2+\boldsymbol{v}_3$, $\boldsymbol{v}_2+\boldsymbol{v}_4$, $\boldsymbol{v}_1+\boldsymbol{v}_4$ and $-\boldsymbol{v}_2$ can be calculated, respectively.

Step 3: By using Equations (3.17a)$_{(0,0,0,1)}$, (3.17d)$_{(0,0,1,0)}$ and (3.17f)$_{(0,1,0,0)}$, the functions on $\boldsymbol{v}_4$, $\boldsymbol{v}_3+\boldsymbol{v}_4$ and $-\boldsymbol{v}_1$ can be calculated, respectively.

Note that the subscripts of the equation numbers (l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4) denote the values of the parameters l_i, $i=1,\dots,4$, in the equations.

Next, we consider $\bar{V}(\boldsymbol{v}_1)$. From the determined ω-functions on

$$\bar{V}(\boldsymbol{0})\cap\bar{V}(\boldsymbol{v}_1)=\{\boldsymbol{0},\ \boldsymbol{v}_1,\ \boldsymbol{v}_1+\boldsymbol{v}_i,\ -\boldsymbol{v}_i\,|\,i=2,3,4\},\tag{3.22}$$

we can obtain the values of the ω-functions on

$$\bar{V}(\boldsymbol{v}_1)-\bar{V}(\boldsymbol{0})=\{\boldsymbol{v}_1-\boldsymbol{v}_i,\ 2\boldsymbol{v}_1,\ 2\boldsymbol{v}_1+\boldsymbol{v}_i\,|\,i=2,3,4\},\tag{3.23}$$

by the following steps.

Step 1: By using Equations (3.17a)$_{(0,-1,0,0)}$, (3.17c)$_{(0,0,-1,0)}$ and (3.17d)$_{(0,0,0,-1)}$, the functions on $\boldsymbol{v}_1-\boldsymbol{v}_2$, $\boldsymbol{v}_1-\boldsymbol{v}_3$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_1-\boldsymbol{v}_4$ can be calculated, respectively.

Step 2: By using Equations (3.17c)$_{(1,1,0,0)}$, (3.17a)$_{(1,0,1,0)}$ and (3.17a)$_{(1,0,0,1)}$, the functions on $2\boldsymbol{v}_1+\boldsymbol{v}_2$, $2\boldsymbol{v}_1+\boldsymbol{v}_3$ and $2\boldsymbol{v}_1+\boldsymbol{v}_4$ can be calculated, respectively.

Step 3: By using Equation (3.17b)$_{(2,0,0,0)}$, the function on $2\boldsymbol{v}_1$ can be calculated.

In a similar manner, we can calculate all ω-functions on $\bar{V}(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{v}_i)$, $i=1,\dots,4$, from those on $\bar{V}(\boldsymbol{l})$ for any $\boldsymbol{l}\in\omega_{A_2+A_1}$. Therefore we have completed the proof. □

For later convenience, we here make the mention of R_0 briefly. Its action on the parameters b_i and p is given by

$$R_0:(b_0,b_1,b_2,b_3,p)\mapsto(b_1,pb_0,b_2,{b_3}^{-1},p),\tag{3.24}$$

while that on the restricted ω-functions, which are on the following sublattice:

$$\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{4}l_i\boldsymbol{v}_i\,\middle|\,l_1=l_2,\ l_i\in\mathbb{Z}\right\}\cup\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{4}l_i\boldsymbol{v}_i\,\middle|\,l_1=l_2+1,\ l_i\in\mathbb{Z}\right\}\subset\omega_{A_2+A_1},\tag{3.25}$$

is given by

$$R_0:\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}\mapsto\begin{cases}\omega_{l_1+1,l_2,l_3,l_4} & \text{if}\quad l_1=l_2,\\ \omega_{l_1,l_2+1,l_3,l_4} & \text{if}\quad l_1=l_2+1.\end{cases}\tag{3.26}$$

3.3. **Discrete Painlevé equations.** In this section we consider the particular f-variables $f_1^{(j)}$, $j=1,2,3$, given by (2.12), which can be expressed by the ratios of the ω-functions ω_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} as follows:

$$f_1^{(1)}=\frac{\omega_2^{(1)}}{\omega_1^{(1)}}=\frac{\omega_{2,1,1,0}}{\omega_{1,1,0,0}},\quad f_1^{(2)}=\frac{\omega_2^{(2)}}{\omega_1^{(2)}}=\frac{\omega_1^{(3)}}{\omega_3^{(3)}}=\frac{\omega_{1,0,0,0}}{\omega_{1,1,0,1}},\quad f_1^{(3)}=\frac{\omega_2^{(3)}}{\omega_1^{(3)}}=\frac{\omega_{1,1,1,0}}{\omega_{1,0,0,0}}.\tag{3.27}$$

These f-variables satisfy the relation (1.5), which follows from the relations (2.13). The action of $\widetilde{W}((A_2\rtimes A_1)^{(1)})$ on the three f-variables is given by

$$w_0(f_1^{(3)})=\frac{p^3{b_0}^2f_1^{(3)}(p{b_0}^2b_3+{b_1}^2f_1^{(1)})}{b_3+p{b_1}^2f_1^{(1)}},\quad w_1(f_1^{(1)})=\frac{{b_1}^2f_1^{(1)}({b_1}^2+p{b_0}^2b_3f_1^{(3)})}{1+p{b_0}^2b_3f_1^{(3)}},\tag{3.28a}$$

$$w_1(f_1^{(2)})=\frac{b_1}{pb_0{b_3}^{3/2}f_1^{(3)}}\left(\frac{b_1r_0(f_1^{(3)})(1+p{b_0}^2b_3f_1^{(3)})}{pb_0{b_3}^{1/2}({b_1}^2+p{b_0}^2b_3f_1^{(3)})}-b_2\right),\tag{3.28b}$$

$$w_2(f_1^{(1)})=\frac{pb_3{}^2f_1^{(3)}\left(p{b_1}^2+b_3\pi(f_1^{(2)})\right)}{p{b_1}^2b_3+r_0(f_1^{(3)})},\quad w_2(f_1^{(2)})=\frac{{b_1}^2f_1^{(2)}\left(p{b_1}^2b_3+r_0(f_1^{(3)})\right)}{{b_0}^2\left(p{b_0}^2b_3+r_0(f_1^{(3)})\right)},\tag{3.28c}$$

$$w_2(f_1^{(3)}) = \frac{{b_0}^2 f_1^{(3)}\left(p{b_0}^2 b_3 + r_0(f_1^{(3)})\right)}{{b_1}^2\left(p{b_1}^2 b_3 + r_0(f_1^{(3)})\right)}, \quad r_0(f_1^{(1)}) = f_1^{(2)}, \quad r_0(f_1^{(2)}) = f_1^{(1)}, \tag{3.28d}$$

$$r_0(f_1^{(3)}) = \frac{b_0 {b_3}^{3/2}(-b_1 b_2 + p^2 {b_0}^3 {b_3}^{1/2} f_1^{(3)})}{{b_1}^2 f_1^{(1)}}, \quad r_1(f_1^{(1)}) = f_1^{(3)}, \quad r_1(f_1^{(3)}) = f_1^{(1)}, \tag{3.28e}$$

$$r_1(f_1^{(2)}) = -\frac{b_2 {b_3}^{1/2}}{p^3 {b_0}^3 b_1 f_1^{(1)} f_1^{(3)}} - \frac{{b_0}^3 b_2 {b_3}^{3/2}}{p^2 {b_0}^4 b_1 f_1^{(1)}} + \frac{{b_1}^2}{p^2 {b_0}^4 f_1^{(3)}}, \tag{3.28f}$$

$$\pi(f_1^{(1)}) = r_0(f_1^{(3)}), \quad \pi(f_1^{(2)}) = \frac{b_1(-b_0 b_2 {b_3}^{1/2} + p{b_1}^3 f_1^{(1)})}{p{b_0}^2 {b_3}^2 f_1^{(3)}}. \tag{3.28g}$$

Note that

$$r_0(f_1^{(3)}) = \frac{\omega_1^{(1)}}{\omega_3^{(1)}}. \tag{3.29}$$

Moreover, the time evolutions of the q-Painlevé equations shown in §2.2 can be expressed by the elements of $\widetilde{W}((A_2 \rtimes A_1)^{(1)})$ as follows:

$$T_0 = \rho_1\rho_2, \quad T_{13} = {\rho_4}^2, \quad R_0 = \pi r_0 w_1, \quad R_{13} = \rho_4, \tag{3.30}$$

where ρ_i are defined by (3.5). Therefore, the birational actions of T_0, T_{13}, R_0 and R_{13} are given by (1.6) and (1.9). As mentioned in Remark 1.4, these actions give q-Painlevé equations (1.1).

4. Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

In this section, we consider the following system of the partial difference equations:

$$\frac{u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2)}{u(\boldsymbol{l})} = -\frac{\alpha_{l_1} u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1) - \beta_{l_2} u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2)}{\alpha_{l_1} u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2) - \beta_{l_2} u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1)}, \tag{4.1a}$$

$$\frac{u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3)}{u(\boldsymbol{l})} = -\frac{\beta_{l_2} u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2) - \gamma_{l_3} u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3)}{\beta_{l_2} u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3) - \gamma_{l_3} u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2)}, \tag{4.1b}$$

$$\frac{u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1)}{u(\boldsymbol{l})} = -\frac{\gamma_{l_3} u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3) - \alpha_{l_1} u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1)}{\gamma_{l_3} u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1) - \alpha_{l_1} u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3)}, \tag{4.1c}$$

$$\frac{u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4)}{u(\boldsymbol{l})} + \frac{u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4)}{u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1)} = -\alpha_{l_1} K_{l_4}, \tag{4.1d}$$

$$\frac{u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4)}{u(\boldsymbol{l})} + \frac{u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4)}{u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2)} = -\beta_{l_2} K_{l_4}, \tag{4.1e}$$

$$\frac{u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4)}{u(\boldsymbol{l})} + \frac{u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4)}{u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3)} = -\gamma_{l_3} K_{l_4}, \tag{4.1f}$$

where $\boldsymbol{l} = \sum_{i=1}^4 l_i \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ and $\{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4\}$ is a standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^4$. Here, $u(\boldsymbol{l})$ is a function from $\mathbb{Z}^4$ to $\mathbb{C}$ and $\{\alpha_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $\{\beta_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $\{\gamma_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{K_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{Z}}$ are complex parameters. This system is obtained by assigning the quad-equations of ABS type to the faces of each 4-dimensional hypercube (4-cube) (see [23] and references therein). The Lax equations for System (4.1) are given by the following [23]:

$$\Psi_{l_1+1,l_2,l_3,l_4} = \delta^{(1)} \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\mu}{\alpha_{l_1}} & -u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1) \\ \dfrac{1}{u(\boldsymbol{l})} & -\dfrac{\mu}{\alpha_{l_1}} \dfrac{u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1)}{u(\boldsymbol{l})} \end{pmatrix} . \Psi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}, \tag{4.2a}$$

PΔE	Lax pair
(4.1a)	(4.2a), (4.2b)
(4.1b)	(4.2b), (4.2c)
(4.1c)	(4.2a), (4.2c)
(4.1d)	(4.2a), (4.2d)
(4.1e)	(4.2b), (4.2d)
(4.1f)	(4.2c), (4.2d)

PΔE	Lax pair
(4.5a)	(4.18a), (4.18b)
(4.5b)	(4.18b), (4.18c)
(4.5c)	(4.18a), (4.18c)
(4.5d)	(4.18a), (4.18d)
(4.5e)	(4.18b), (4.18d)
(4.5f)	(4.18c), (4.18d)

PΔE	Lax pair
(3.17a)	(4.19a), (4.19b)
(3.17b)	(4.19b), (4.19c)
(3.17c)	(4.19a), (4.19c)
(3.17d)	(4.19a), (4.19d)
(3.17e)	(4.19b), (4.19d)
(3.17f)	(4.19c), (4.19d)

Table 1. The correspondences between PΔEs and Lax pairs.

$$\Psi_{l_1,l_2+1,l_3,l_4} = \delta^{(2)} \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\mu}{\beta_{l_2}} & -u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2) \\ \dfrac{1}{u(\boldsymbol{l})} & -\dfrac{\mu}{\beta_{l_2}}\dfrac{u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2)}{u(\boldsymbol{l})} \end{pmatrix} . \Psi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}, \tag{4.2b}$$

$$\Psi_{l_1,l_2,l_3+1,l_4} = \delta^{(3)} \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\mu}{\gamma_{l_3}} & -u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3) \\ \dfrac{1}{u(\boldsymbol{l})} & -\dfrac{\mu}{\gamma_{l_3}}\dfrac{u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3)}{u(\boldsymbol{l})} \end{pmatrix} . \Psi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}, \tag{4.2c}$$

$$\Psi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4+1} = \delta^{(4)} \begin{pmatrix} -\mu K_{l_4} & -u(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4) \\ \dfrac{1}{u(\boldsymbol{l})} & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \Psi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}, \tag{4.2d}$$

where $\delta^{(i)}$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, are arbitrary constants and μ is a spectral parameter. The pairs of Equations (4.2) give the Lax pairs of PΔEs (4.1) (see Table 1).

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** In this section, we show that the lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$ can be obtained from the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^4$ with the PΔEs (4.1) by a geometric reduction.

Let

$$u(\boldsymbol{l}) = \frac{\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}{}^{(l_1+l_2+l_3-2l_4)/2}}{U(\boldsymbol{l})}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\boldsymbol{l} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} l_i \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^4$. Here, λ_0 is a non-zero complex parameter and

$$\lambda_l = \begin{cases} \lambda_0 & \text{if} \quad l = 2n, \\ \dfrac{1}{\lambda_0} & \text{if} \quad l = 2n+1. \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

Then, System (4.1) can be rewritten as the following:

$$\frac{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2)}{U(\boldsymbol{l})} = -\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4} \frac{\alpha_{l_1} U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1) - \beta_{l_2} U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2)}{\alpha_{l_1} U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2) - \beta_{l_2} U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1)}, \tag{4.5a}$$

$$\frac{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3)}{U(\boldsymbol{l})} = -\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4} \frac{\beta_{l_2} U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2) - \gamma_{l_3} U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3)}{\beta_{l_2} U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3) - \gamma_{l_3} U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2)}, \tag{4.5b}$$

$$\frac{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1)}{U(\boldsymbol{l})} = -\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4} \frac{\gamma_{l_3} U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3) - \alpha_{l_1} U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1)}{\gamma_{l_3} U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1) - \alpha_{l_1} U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3)}, \tag{4.5c}$$

$$\frac{U(\boldsymbol{l})}{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4)} + \lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}{}^2 \frac{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1)}{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4)} = -\alpha_{l_1} K_{l_4} \lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}{}^{1/2}, \tag{4.5d}$$

$$\frac{U(\boldsymbol{l})}{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4)} + \lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}{}^2 \frac{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2)}{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4)} = -\beta_{l_2} K_{l_4} \lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}{}^{1/2}, \tag{4.5e}$$

$$\frac{U(\boldsymbol{l})}{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4)} + \lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}{}^2 \frac{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3)}{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4)} = -\gamma_{l_3} K_{l_4} \lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}{}^{1/2}. \tag{4.5f}$$

Moreover, by imposing the following $(1,1,1,1)$-periodic condition:

$$U(\boldsymbol{l}) = U(\boldsymbol{l} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1 + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2 + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3 + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4), \tag{4.6}$$

for $\boldsymbol{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^4$, with the following condition of the parameters:

$$\alpha_l = p^{-l}\alpha_0, \quad \beta_l = p^{-l}\beta_0, \quad \gamma_l = p^{-l}\gamma_0, \quad K_l = p^l K_0, \tag{4.7}$$

where p is a non-zero complex parameter, System (4.5) becomes the system of q-difference equations (in this case the shift parameter is given by p).

We define the transformations $\hat{\rho}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, by the following actions:

$$\hat{\rho}_1 : (U(\boldsymbol{l}), \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0, K_0, \lambda_0, p) \mapsto (U(\boldsymbol{l} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1), p^{-1}\alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0, K_0, {\lambda_0}^{-1}, p), \tag{4.8a}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_2 : (U(\boldsymbol{l}), \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0, K_0, \lambda_0, p) \mapsto (U(\boldsymbol{l} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2), \alpha_0, p^{-1}\beta_0, \gamma_0, K_0, {\lambda_0}^{-1}, p), \tag{4.8b}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_3 : (U(\boldsymbol{l}), \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0, K_0, \lambda_0, p) \mapsto (U(\boldsymbol{l} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3), \alpha_0, \beta_0, p^{-1}\gamma_0, K_0, {\lambda_0}^{-1}, p), \tag{4.8c}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_4 : (U(\boldsymbol{l}), \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0, K_0, \lambda_0, p) \mapsto (U(\boldsymbol{l} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4), \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0, pK_0, {\lambda_0}^{-1}, p), \tag{4.8d}$$

which imply that $\hat{\rho}_i$ is a shift operator of $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i$-direction on $\mathbb{Z}^4$. In addition, we also introduce a transformation $\hat{R}_0$ as follows. Its action on the parameters is defined by

$$\hat{R}_0 : (\alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0, K_0, \lambda_0, p) \mapsto (\beta_0, p^{-1}\alpha_0, \gamma_0, K_0, {\lambda_0}^{-1}, p), \tag{4.9}$$

while that on the function $U(\boldsymbol{l})$ is defined by

$$\hat{R}_0(U(\boldsymbol{l})) = \begin{cases} U(\boldsymbol{l} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1) & \text{if} \quad \boldsymbol{l} \in \nabla^{(1)}, \\ U(\boldsymbol{l} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2) & \text{if} \quad \boldsymbol{l} \in \nabla^{(2)}, \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$\nabla^{(1)} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{4} l_i \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i \;\middle|\; l_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ l_1 = l_2 \right\}, \quad \nabla^{(2)} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{4} l_i \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i \;\middle|\; l_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ l_1 = l_2 + 1 \right\}. \tag{4.11}$$

These imply that $\hat{R}_0$ is a zigzag-shift operator on the sublattice

$$\mathcal{R} = \nabla^{(1)} \cup \nabla^{(2)} \subset \mathbb{Z}^4, \tag{4.12}$$

that is,

$$\hat{R}_0(\boldsymbol{l}) = \begin{cases} \hat{\rho}_1(\boldsymbol{l}) & \text{if} \quad \boldsymbol{l} \in \nabla^{(1)}, \\ \hat{\rho}_2(\boldsymbol{l}) & \text{if} \quad \boldsymbol{l} \in \nabla^{(2)}, \end{cases} \qquad \hat{R}_0^{-1}(\boldsymbol{l}) = \begin{cases} \hat{\rho}_2^{-1}(\boldsymbol{l}) & \text{if} \quad \boldsymbol{l} \in \nabla^{(1)}, \\ \hat{\rho}_1^{-1}(\boldsymbol{l}) & \text{if} \quad \boldsymbol{l} \in \nabla^{(2)}. \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

In general, for a function $F = F(U(\boldsymbol{l}), \alpha_0, \beta_0, \gamma_0, K_0, \lambda_0, p)$, we let a transformation $w \in \langle \hat{\rho}_1, \ldots, \hat{\rho}_4, \hat{R}_0 \rangle$ act as

$$w(F) = F\Big(w(U(\boldsymbol{l})), w(\alpha_0), w(\beta_0), w(\gamma_0), w(K_0), w(\lambda_0), w(p)\Big), \tag{4.14}$$

that is, the transformation w act on the arguments from the left.

Finally, letting

$$\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} = H_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} U(\boldsymbol{l}), \quad b_0 = \frac{\gamma_0}{\alpha_0}, \quad b_1 = \frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0}, \quad b_2 = \gamma_0 K_0, \tag{4.15}$$

where $\boldsymbol{l} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} l_i \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i$, we obtain the fundamental relations on the lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$ (3.17) from System (4.5). Here, the gauge factor H_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} is defined by

$$H_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} = \mathrm{i}^{(\log \alpha_{l_1}\beta_{l_2}\gamma_{l_3}{K_{l_4}}^3)/\log p} \mathrm{e}^{\left((\log p^3 {\alpha_{l_1}}^2 {\beta_{l_2}}^2 {\gamma_{l_3}}^{-4})^2 + 12(\log \alpha_{l_1}\beta_{l_2}{}^{-1})^2\right)/16 \log p} \times \left(\frac{\gamma_{l_3}}{{\alpha_{l_1}}^{1/2} {\beta_{l_2}}^{1/2}} \right)^{3/2}, \tag{4.16}$$

where $\mathrm{i} = \sqrt{-1}$. Furthermore, the actions of transformations $\hat{\rho}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, and $\hat{R}_0$ correspond to those of ρ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, and R_0 which are elements of $\widetilde{W}((A_2 \rtimes A_1)^{(1)})$,



Figure 4. A $(1,1,1,1)$-reduction from a 4-cube to a rhombic dodecahedron with a center.

respectively. We note here that the reduction from System (4.1) to System (3.17) causes the reduction of the underlying lattice (see Figure 4):

$$\mathbb{Z}^4 \to \mathbb{Z}^4/\mathbb{Z}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4) \cong \omega_{A_2+A_1}.$$

The reduction from $\mathbb{Z}^4$ with System (4.1) to the lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$ is referred to as geometric reduction [24] and then the lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$ is said to have the reduced hypercube structure. Therefore, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** In this section, we construct the Lax pairs of the q-Painlevé equations (1.1) from the Lax equations (4.2) by using the reduction given in §4.1.

By the gauge transformations (4.3) and

$$\Psi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} = \mathrm{i}^{-l_1-l_2-l_3+3l_4}\begin{pmatrix} U(\boldsymbol{l})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{i}\,\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}{}^{(l_1+l_2+l_3-2l_4)/2}\end{pmatrix}.\phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}, \tag{4.17}$$

the Lax equations (4.2) can be rewritten as the following:

$$\phi_{l_1+1,l_2,l_3,l_4} = \delta^{(1)}\begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{\alpha_{l_1}}\dfrac{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1)}{U(\boldsymbol{l})} & \dfrac{1}{\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}{}^{1/2}} \\ \lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}{}^{1/2} & -\dfrac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{\alpha_{l_1}}\dfrac{U(\boldsymbol{l})}{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1)}\end{pmatrix}.\phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}, \tag{4.18a}$$

$$\phi_{l_1,l_2+1,l_3,l_4} = \delta^{(2)}\begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{\beta_{l_2}}\dfrac{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2)}{U(\boldsymbol{l})} & \dfrac{1}{\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}{}^{1/2}} \\ \lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}{}^{1/2} & -\dfrac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{\beta_{l_2}}\dfrac{U(\boldsymbol{l})}{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2)}\end{pmatrix}.\phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}, \tag{4.18b}$$

$$\phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3+1,l_4} = \delta^{(3)}\begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{\gamma_{l_3}}\dfrac{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3)}{U(\boldsymbol{l})} & \dfrac{1}{\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}{}^{1/2}} \\ \lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}{}^{1/2} & -\dfrac{\mathrm{i}\,\mu}{\gamma_{l_3}}\dfrac{U(\boldsymbol{l})}{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3)}\end{pmatrix}.\phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}, \tag{4.18c}$$

$$\phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4+1} = \delta^{(4)}\begin{pmatrix} -\mathrm{i}\,\mu K_{l_4}\dfrac{U(\boldsymbol{l}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_4)}{U(\boldsymbol{l})} & \lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4} \\ \dfrac{1}{\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}} & 0\end{pmatrix}.\phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}. \tag{4.18d}$$

These give the Lax pairs of PΔEs (4.5) (see Table 1). Moreover, by the reduction (4.6) with (4.7) and the replacement (4.15), the Lax equations (4.18) can be rewritten as the

following:

$$\phi_{l_1+1,l_2,l_3,l_4} = \delta^{(1)} \begin{pmatrix} -p^{-l_1+l_2+l_3-1}\dfrac{b_1}{b_0}\dfrac{\omega_{l_1+1,l_2,l_3,l_4}}{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}}x & \dfrac{1}{{\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}}^{1/2}} \\ {\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}}^{1/2} & -p^{3l_1-l_2-l_3+1}\dfrac{{b_0}^3}{b_1}\dfrac{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}}{\omega_{l_1+1,l_2,l_3,l_4}}x \end{pmatrix}.\phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}, \tag{4.19a}$$

$$\phi_{l_1,l_2+1,l_3,l_4} = \delta^{(2)} \begin{pmatrix} -p^{l_1-l_2+l_3-1}\dfrac{b_0}{b_1}\dfrac{\omega_{l_1,l_2+1,l_3,l_4}}{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}}x & \dfrac{1}{{\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}}^{1/2}} \\ {\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}}^{1/2} & -p^{-l_1+3l_2-l_3+1}\dfrac{{b_1}^3}{b_0}\dfrac{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}}{\omega_{l_1,l_2+1,l_3,l_4}}x \end{pmatrix}.\phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}, \tag{4.19b}$$

$$\phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3+1,l_4} = \delta^{(3)} \begin{pmatrix} -p^{l_1+l_2-l_3-1}b_0b_1\dfrac{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3+1,l_4}}{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}}x & \dfrac{1}{{\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}}^{1/2}} \\ {\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}}^{1/2} & -p^{-l_1-l_2+3l_3+1}\dfrac{1}{b_0b_1}\dfrac{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}}{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3+1,l_4}}x \end{pmatrix}.\phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}, \tag{4.19c}$$

$$\phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4+1} = \delta^{(4)} \begin{pmatrix} p^{l_4}b_2\dfrac{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4+1}}{\omega_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}}x & \lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4} \\ \dfrac{1}{\lambda_{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.\phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}, \tag{4.19d}$$

where

$$x = \frac{\mu}{\gamma_0}. \tag{4.20}$$

These give the Lax pairs of PΔEs (3.17) (see Table 1).

Now we are in a position to construct the Lax pairs of the q-Painlevé equations. We first lift the action of $\langle \hat{\rho}_1, \ldots, \hat{\rho}_4, \hat{R}_0 \rangle$ up to the Lax equations (4.19) by

$$\hat{\rho}_1 : (\delta^{(1)}, \delta^{(2)}, \delta^{(3)}, \delta^{(4)}, \mu, \phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}) \mapsto (\delta^{(1)}, \delta^{(2)}, \delta^{(3)}, \delta^{(4)}, \mu, \phi_{l_1+1,l_2,l_3,l_4}), \tag{4.21a}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_2 : (\delta^{(1)}, \delta^{(2)}, \delta^{(3)}, \delta^{(4)}, \mu, \phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}) \mapsto (\delta^{(1)}, \delta^{(2)}, \delta^{(3)}, \delta^{(4)}, \mu, \phi_{l_1,l_2+1,l_3,l_4}), \tag{4.21b}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_3 : (\delta^{(1)}, \delta^{(2)}, \delta^{(3)}, \delta^{(4)}, \mu, \phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}) \mapsto (\delta^{(1)}, \delta^{(2)}, \delta^{(3)}, \delta^{(4)}, \mu, \phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3+1,l_4}), \tag{4.21c}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_4 : (\delta^{(1)}, \delta^{(2)}, \delta^{(3)}, \delta^{(4)}, \mu, \phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}) \mapsto (\delta^{(1)}, \delta^{(2)}, \delta^{(3)}, \delta^{(4)}, \mu, \phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4+1}), \tag{4.21d}$$

$$\hat{R}_0 : (\delta^{(1)}, \delta^{(2)}, \delta^{(3)}, \delta^{(4)}, \mu, \phi_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}) \mapsto (\delta^{(2)}, \delta^{(1)}, \delta^{(3)}, \delta^{(4)}, \mu, \phi^R_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4}), \tag{4.21e}$$

where

$$\phi^R_{l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4} = \begin{cases} \phi_{l_1+1,l_2,l_3,l_4} & \text{if} \quad l_1 = l_2, \\ \phi_{l_1,l_2+1,l_3,l_4} & \text{if} \quad l_1 = l_2 + 1. \end{cases} \tag{4.22}$$

By letting

$$\phi_{0,0,0,-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{0,0,0,-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_{0,0,0,0} \end{pmatrix}.\Phi, \tag{4.23}$$

the action of $\langle \hat{\rho}_1 \ldots, \hat{\rho}_4, \hat{R}_0 \rangle$ on Φ is given by

$$\hat{\rho}_1(\Phi) = \delta_1 \begin{pmatrix} -\dfrac{b_1}{b_0 p}x & \dfrac{{b_1}^2}{b_0 b_3 (p^2 {b_0}^3 {b_3}^{1/2} f_1^{(3)} - b_1 b_2)} \\ \dfrac{f_1^{(3)}}{{b_3}^{1/2}} & -\dfrac{p{b_0}^2 b_1 f_1^{(3)}}{{b_3}^{3/2}(p^2 {b_0}^3 {b_3}^{1/2} f_1^{(3)} - b_1 b_2)}x \end{pmatrix}.\Phi, \tag{4.24a}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_2(\Phi) = \delta_2 \begin{pmatrix} -\dfrac{b_0}{pb_1}x & \dfrac{{b_0}^2}{b_1 b_3\left(p^2{b_1}^3 {b_3}^{1/2}\hat{\rho}_1^{-1}(f_1^{(1)}) - b_0 b_2\right)} \\ \dfrac{\hat{\rho}_1^{-1}(f_1^{(1)})}{{b_3}^{1/2}} & -\dfrac{pb_0{b_1}^2\hat{\rho}_1^{-1}(f_1^{(1)})}{{b_3}^{3/2}\left(p^2{b_1}^3{b_3}^{1/2}\hat{\rho}_1^{-1}(f_1^{(1)}) - b_0 b_2\right)}x \end{pmatrix}.\Phi, \tag{4.24b}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_3(\Phi) = \delta_3 \begin{pmatrix} -\dfrac{b_0 b_1}{p}x & \dfrac{{b_3}^{1/2}}{\hat{\rho}_3\left(f_1^{(2)} f_1^{(3)}\right)} \\ \dfrac{b_0 b_1\left(b_0 b_1\hat{\rho}_3\left(f_1^{(2)} f_1^{(3)}\right) + b_2 {b_3}^{3/2}\right)}{p^2 {b_3}^{5/2}} & -\dfrac{b_0 b_1\hat{\rho}_3\left(f_1^{(2)} f_1^{(3)}\right) + b_2 {b_3}^{3/2}}{p{b_3}^2\hat{\rho}_3\left(f_1^{(2)} f_1^{(3)}\right)}x \end{pmatrix}.\Phi, \tag{4.24c}$$

$$\hat{\rho}_4(\Phi) = \delta_4 \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{b_2}{p}x & \dfrac{1}{b_3} \\ \dfrac{pb_0 f_1^{(3)}\left(p{b_0}^3\hat{\rho}_4(f_1^{(3)}) - b_1 b_2 {b_3}^{1/2}\right)}{{b_1}^2 b_3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.\Phi, \tag{4.24d}$$

$$\hat{R}_0(\Phi) = \hat{\rho}_1(\Phi), \tag{4.24e}$$

where $f_1^{(j)}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are given by (3.27) and satisfy the relation (1.5). Next, let us define

$$\hat{T}_{\mathrm{SP}} = \hat{\rho}_1\hat{\rho}_2\hat{\rho}_3\hat{\rho}_4, \quad \hat{T}_0 = \hat{\rho}_1\hat{\rho}_2, \quad \hat{T}_{13} = \hat{\rho}_4^2, \quad \hat{R}_{13} = \hat{\rho}_4. \tag{4.25}$$

Remark 4.1. *Under the actions on the f-variables $f_1^{(j)}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, and the parameters b_i, $i = 0, \ldots, 3$, and p, the transformations $\hat{T}_0$, $\hat{T}_{13}$, $\hat{R}_0$ and $\hat{R}_{13}$ are respectively equivalent to the transformations T_0, T_{13}, R_0 and R_{13}, which are elements of $\widetilde{W}((A_2 \rtimes A_1)^{(1)})$, and the spectral operator $\hat{T}_{\mathrm{SP}}$ can be regarded as an identity mapping.*

The actions of $\hat{T}_{\mathrm{SP}}$, $\hat{T}_0$, $\hat{T}_{13}$, $\hat{R}_0$ and $\hat{R}_{13}$ on the spectral parameter x are given by

$$\hat{T}_{\mathrm{SP}}(x) = px, \quad \hat{T}_0(x) = \hat{T}_{13}(x) = \hat{R}_0(x) = \hat{R}_{13}(x) = x, \tag{4.26}$$

while those on the wave function Φ are given by the following:

$$\hat{T}_{\mathrm{SP}}(\Phi) = \delta_1\delta_2\delta_3\delta_4\, A.\Phi, \quad \hat{T}_0(\Phi) = \delta_1\delta_2\, B_{T0}.\Phi, \quad \hat{T}_{13}(\Phi) = {\delta_4}^2\, B_{T13}.\Phi, \tag{4.27a}$$

$$\hat{R}_0(\Phi) = \delta_1\, B_{R0}.\Phi, \quad \hat{R}_{13}(\Phi) = \delta_4\, B_{R13}.\Phi, \tag{4.27b}$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{b_2}{p}x & b_3 \\ -\dfrac{{b_3}^{1/2}(p^2{b_0}^3{b_3}^{1/2} f_1^{(3)} - b_1 b_2)(b_0 b_2 {b_3}^{1/2} + p{b_0}^3 b_2 {b_3}^{3/2} f_1^{(3)} - p{b_1}^3 f_1^{(1)})}{p^3{b_0}^3{b_1}^3 f_1^{(1)} f_1^{(3)}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\cdot \begin{pmatrix} -pb_0 b_1 x & -\dfrac{p^3{b_0}^4 b_1 {b_3}^{1/2} f_1^{(3)}}{b_0 b_2 {b_3}^{1/2} + p{b_0}^3 b_2 {b_3}^{3/2} f_1^{(3)} - p{b_1}^3 f_1^{(1)}} \\ \dfrac{b_1(p{b_1}^3 f_1^{(1)} - b_0 b_2 {b_3}^{1/2})}{p{b_0}^2 {b_3}^{5/2} f_1^{(3)}} & \dfrac{pb_0 b_1(p{b_1}^3 f_1^{(1)} - b_0 b_2 {b_3}^{1/2})}{b_0 b_2 {b_3}^{5/2} + p{b_0}^3 b_2 {b_3}^{7/2} f_1^{(3)} - p{b_1}^3 {b_3}^2 f_1^{(1)}}x \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\cdot \begin{pmatrix} -\dfrac{b_0}{b_1}x & \dfrac{p{b_0}^2 {b_3}^{3/2}}{b_1(p{b_1}^3 f_1^{(1)} - b_0 b_2 {b_3}^{1/2})} \\ {b_3}^{1/2} f_1^{(1)} & \dfrac{pb_0 {b_1}^2 {b_3}^2 f_1^{(1)}}{b_0 b_2 {b_3}^{1/2} - p{b_1}^3 f_1^{(1)}}x \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cdot\begin{pmatrix} -\dfrac{b_1}{pb_0}x & \dfrac{{b_1}^2}{b_0b_3(p^2{b_0}^3{b_3}^{1/2}f_1^{(3)}-b_1b_2)} \\ \dfrac{f_1^{(3)}}{{b_3}^{1/2}} & -\dfrac{pb_0{}^2b_1f_1^{(3)}}{{b_3}^{3/2}(p^2{b_0}^3{b_3}^{1/2}f_1^{(3)}-b_1b_2)}x \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.28}$$

$$B_{T0}=\begin{pmatrix} -\dfrac{b_0}{b_1}x & \dfrac{pb_0{}^2{b_3}^{3/2}}{b_1(pb_1{}^3f_1^{(1)}-b_0b_2{b_3}^{1/2})} \\ {b_3}^{1/2}f_1^{(1)} & \dfrac{pb_0b_1{}^2b_3{}^2f_1^{(1)}}{b_0b_2{b_3}^{1/2}-pb_1{}^3f_1^{(1)}}x \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cdot\begin{pmatrix} -\dfrac{b_1}{pb_0}x & \dfrac{{b_1}^2}{b_0b_3(p^2{b_0}^3{b_3}^{1/2}f_1^{(3)}-b_1b_2)} \\ \dfrac{f_1^{(3)}}{{b_3}^{1/2}} & -\dfrac{pb_0{}^2b_1f_1^{(3)}}{{b_3}^{3/2}(p^2{b_0}^3{b_3}^{1/2}f_1^{(3)}-b_1b_2)}x \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.29a}$$

$$B_{T13}=\begin{pmatrix} b_2x & b_3 \\ \dfrac{pb_1{b_3}^{1/2}f_1^{(1)}({b_1}^3{b_3}^{1/2}f_1^{(2)}-b_0b_2)\left(pb_0{}^3{b_3}^{1/2}T_{13}(f_1^{(3)})-pb_1b_2\right)}{{b_0}^2(p^2{b_0}^3{b_3}^{1/2}f_1^{(3)}-b_1b_2)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cdot\begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{b_2}{p}x & \dfrac{1}{b_3} \\ \dfrac{pb_0f_1^{(3)}}{b_1b_3}\left(\dfrac{pb_1{}^2f_1^{(1)}(b_0b_2-{b_1}^3{b_3}^{1/2}f_1^{(2)})}{b_1b_2-p^2{b_0}^3{b_3}^{1/2}f_1^{(3)}}-b_2{b_3}^{1/2}\right) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.29b}$$

$$B_{R0}=\begin{pmatrix} -\dfrac{b_1}{pb_0}x & \dfrac{{b_1}^2}{b_0b_3(p^2{b_0}^3{b_3}^{1/2}f_1^{(3)}-b_1b_2)} \\ \dfrac{f_1^{(3)}}{{b_3}^{1/2}} & -\dfrac{pb_0{}^2b_1f_1^{(3)}}{{b_3}^{3/2}(p^2{b_0}^3{b_3}^{1/2}f_1^{(3)}-b_1b_2)}x \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.29c}$$

$$B_{R13}=\begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{b_2}{p}x & \dfrac{1}{b_3} \\ \dfrac{pb_0f_1^{(3)}\left(pb_0{}^3R_{13}(f_1^{(3)})-b_1b_2{b_3}^{1/2}\right)}{{b_1}^2b_3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.29d}$$

Therefore, we finally obtain Theorem 1.3 by the following correspondence:

$$\hat{T}_0=T_0,\quad \hat{T}_{13}=T_{13},\quad \hat{R}_0=R_0,\quad \hat{R}_{13}=R_{13}, \tag{4.30a}$$

$$\delta_1=\delta_2=\delta_3=\delta_4=1. \tag{4.30b}$$

5. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we constructed the ω-lattice of type $A_4^{(1)}$. The ω-lattice provides the informations about how a system of partial difference equations can be reduced to $A_4^{(1)}$-surface q-Painlevé equations. We will show how to use this information in forthcoming paper (N. Joshi, N. Nakazono and Y. Shi, in preparation). We also constructed another important lattice $\omega_{A_2+A_1}$ and showed that it has the reduced hypercube structure. Moreover, by using this structure, we constructed the Lax pairs of the q-Painlevé equations (1.1). The distinguishing feature of the Lax pairs given in this paper as compared with those in the other works, e.g. [22, 35], is that their coefficient matrices can be factorized into the products of matrices which are of degree one in the spectral parameter x. This property enables us to

construct the Lax pairs of symmetric discrete Painlevé equations, e.g. q-P$_{\rm III}(D_7^{(1)})$ (1.1c) and q-P$_{\rm IV}$ (1.1d), which can be obtained by projective reductions [28, 29].

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by an Australian Laureate Fellowship # FL120100094 and grant # DP130100967 from the Australian Research Council.

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 2.2

In this section, we define the transformation group $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ with its linear action and show it forms the extended affine Weyl group of type $A_4^{(1)}$. Moreover, we lift its action to the birational action on the parameters and the τ-variables.

First, we define the transformation group $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)}) = \langle s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, \sigma, \iota\rangle$. Let (f, g) be inhomogeneous coordinate of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We consider the following eight base points of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$:

$$p_1 : (f,g) = (-{a_0}^{-1}a_3, 0), \qquad p_2 : (f,g) = (-{a_0}^{-1}{a_1}^{-1}a_3, 0), \tag{A.1a}$$

$$p_3 : (f,g) = (-{a_0}^{-1}a_2a_3, \infty), \qquad p_4 : (f,g) = (0, -a_0{a_2}^{-1}), \tag{A.1b}$$

$$p_5 : (f,g) = (0, -a_0{a_2}^{-1}a_4), \qquad p_6 : (f,g) = (\infty, -a_0{a_2}^{-1}{a_3}^{-1}), \tag{A.1c}$$

$$p_\infty : (f,g) = (\infty, \infty), \qquad p_7 : (f,g;f/g) = (\infty, \infty; -{a_0}^{-1}a_2a_3), \tag{A.1d}$$

where a_i, $i = 0, \dots, 4$, are non-zero complex parameters. Let $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} : X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ denote blow up of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ at the points (A.1). The linear equivalence classes of the total transform of the coordinate lines f=constant and g=constant are denoted by h_1 and h_2, respectively. The Picard group of X, denoted by Pic(X), is given by

$$\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}h_1 \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}h_2 \bigoplus_{i=1}^{8} \mathbb{Z}e_i, \tag{A.2}$$

where $e_i = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{-1}(p_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, 8$, $(p_8 = p_\infty)$ are exceptional divisors. The intersection form $(\,|\,)$ is defined by

$$(h_i|h_j) = 1 - \delta_{ij}, \quad (h_i|e_j) = 0, \quad (e_i|e_j) = -\delta_{ij}. \tag{A.3}$$

The anti-canonical divisor of X, denoted by $-K_X$, is uniquely decomposed into the prime divisors:

$$-K_X = 2h_1 + 2h_2 - \sum_{i=1}^{8} e_i = \sum_{i=0}^{4} d_i =: \delta, \tag{A.4}$$

where

$$d_0 = h_1 - e_6 - e_8, \quad d_1 = e_8 - e_7, \quad d_2 = h_2 - e_3 - e_8, \tag{A.5a}$$

$$d_3 = h_1 - e_4 - e_5, \quad d_4 = h_2 - e_1 - e_2. \tag{A.5b}$$

The corresponding Cartan matrix

$$(d_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad d_{ij} = \frac{2(d_i|d_j)}{(d_j|d_j)}, \tag{A.6}$$

and Dynkin diagram (see Figure 5) are of type $A_4^{(1)}$. Thus, we can set the root lattice as

$$Q(A_4^{(1)}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{4} \mathbb{Z}d_i, \tag{A.7}$$



Figure 5. Dynkin diagram of type $A_4^{(1)}$.

and identify the surface X as being type $A_4^{(1)}$ in Sakai's classification [55]. Moreover, we obtain the following root lattice orthogonal to $Q(A_4^{(1)})$:

$$\hat{Q}(A_4^{(1)}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{4} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i, \tag{A.8}$$

where

$$\alpha_0 = h_1 + h_2 - e_1 - e_4 - e_7 - e_8, \quad \alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2, \quad \alpha_2 = h_1 - e_1 - e_3, \tag{A.9a}$$

$$\alpha_3 = h_2 - e_4 - e_6, \quad \alpha_4 = e_4 - e_5, \tag{A.9b}$$

and

$$\delta = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \tag{A.10}$$

by searching for elements of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ that are orthogonal to all divisors d_i, $i = 0, \ldots, 4$. The root lattice $\hat{Q}(A_4^{(1)})$ is also of $A_4^{(1)}$-type.

Let us consider the Cremona isometries for this setting. A Cremona isometry is defined by an automorphism of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ which preserves

(i): the intersection form on $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$;
(ii): the canonical divisor K_X;
(iii): effectiveness of each effective divisor of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$.

The reflections s_i for simple roots α_i, $i = 0, \ldots, 4$, defined by the following right actions:

$$v.s_i = v - \frac{2(v|\alpha_i)}{(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)}\alpha_i, \tag{A.11}$$

for all $v \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and the automorphisms of the Dynkin diagram:

$$(d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4).\sigma = (d_2, d_3, d_4, d_0, d_1; \alpha_4, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), \tag{A.12a}$$

$$(d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4).\iota = (d_2, d_1, d_0, d_4, d_3; \alpha_0, \alpha_4, \alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_1), \tag{A.12b}$$

defined by the following right actions:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(h_1, h_2, e_1, \ldots, e_8\right).\sigma \\ &= \left(h_1, h_2, e_1, \ldots, e_8\right).\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\end{aligned} \tag{A.13a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(h_1, h_2, e_1, \dots, e_8\right).\iota\\ &= \left(h_1, h_2, e_1, \dots, e_8\right).\begin{pmatrix}0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&1&0&0&0&0&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1\end{pmatrix},\end{aligned} \tag{A.13b}$$

are Cremona isometries and collectively form extended affine Weyl group of type $A_4^{(1)}$. Namely, we can easily verify that the following fundamental relations hold:

$$s_i{}^2 = 1, \quad (s_i s_{i\pm1})^3 = 1, \quad (s_i s_j)^2 = 1, \quad j \neq i \pm 1, \tag{A.14a}$$

$$\sigma^5 = 1, \quad \sigma s_i = s_{i+1}\sigma, \quad \iota^2 = 1, \quad \iota s_i = s_{-i}\iota, \quad \sigma\iota = \iota\sigma^{-1}, \tag{A.14b}$$

where $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$. Note here that the transformations T_i, $i = 0, \dots, 4$, defined by (2.7) are translations on $\hat{Q}(A_4^{(1)})$:

$$\alpha_i.T_i = \alpha_i - \delta, \quad \alpha_{i+1}.T_i = \alpha_{i+1} + \delta, \tag{A.15}$$

where $i \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$.

Next, we lift the action of $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ to the birational action. We first define the variables f_u, f_d, g_u and g_d by

$$f = \frac{f_u}{f_d}, \quad g = \frac{g_u}{g_d}, \tag{A.16}$$

and their polynomial F_Λ by

$$F_\Lambda = F_\Lambda(f_u, f_d, g_u, g_d), \tag{A.17}$$

where $\Lambda = mh_1 + nh_2 - \sum_{i=1}^8 \mu_i e_i$, which corresponds to a curve of bi-degree (m, n) on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ passing through base points p_i with multiplicity μ_i. For example,

$$F_{h_1+h_2-e_2-e_5-e_8} = \gamma(a_0{}^2 a_1 a_4 f_u g_d + a_2 a_3 f_d g_u + a_0 a_3 a_4 f_d g_d), \tag{A.18}$$

where γ is an arbitrary non-zero complex parameter. We next define a mapping τ by the following definition.

Definition A.1. *We define a mapping τ on the set*

$$M = \left\{ e_i.w \mid w \in \widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)}),\ i = 1, \dots, 8 \right\} \tag{A.19}$$

by the following:

(i): *if under the blowing down map an exceptional line e_i collapses to a base point p_j, put*

$$\tau(e_i) = \tau(e_j); \tag{A.20}$$

(ii): *if $\Lambda = mh_1 + nh_2 - \sum_{i=1}^8 \mu_i e_i \in \{d_0, d_2, d_3, d_4\}$, then*

$$\frac{F_\Lambda(f_u, f_d, g_u, g_d)}{F_\Lambda(1,1,1,1)} = \tau(e_1)^{\mu_1} \cdots \tau(e_8)^{\mu_8}, \tag{A.21}$$

which give

$$f_u = \tau(e_4)\tau(e_5), \quad f_d = \tau(e_6)\tau(e_8), \quad g_u = \tau(e_1)\tau(e_2), \quad g_d = \tau(e_3)\tau(e_8); \tag{A.22}$$

(iii): *for $\Lambda = mh_1 + nh_2 - \sum_{i=1}^8 \mu_i e_i \in M$, $\tau(\Lambda)$ is defined by*

$$\tau(\Lambda) = \frac{F_\Lambda(f_u, f_d, g_u, g_d)}{\tau(e_1)^{\mu_1} \cdots \tau(e_8)^{\mu_8}}; \tag{A.23}$$



Figure 6. Relations between the τ-variables.

(iv): *$w \in \widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ act on $\tau(\Lambda)$ as*

$$w.\tau(\Lambda) = \tau(\Lambda.w^{-1}), \tag{A.24}$$

where $\Lambda \in M$.

Finally, Lemma 2.2 follows from the setting

$$\tau_1^{(1)} = \tau(e_2), \quad \tau_1^{(2)} = \tau(e_3), \quad \tau_1^{(3)} = \tau(e_6), \quad \tau_1^{(4)} = \tau(e_5), \quad \tau_1^{(5)} = \tau(e_7) = \tau(e_8), \tag{A.25a}$$

$$\tau_2^{(1)} = \tau(e_1.\sigma^4) = \frac{a_0a_1(a_3\tau_1^{(3)}\tau_1^{(5)} + a_0\tau_1^{(4)}\tau_2^{(3)})}{a_2{a_3}^2\tau_2^{(5)}}, \tag{A.25b}$$

$$\tau_2^{(2)} = \tau(e_4.\sigma) = \frac{a_1a_2(a_4\tau_1^{(1)}\tau_1^{(4)} + a_1\tau_1^{(5)}\tau_2^{(4)})}{a_3{a_4}^2\tau_2^{(1)}}, \quad \tau_2^{(3)} = \tau(e_4), \tag{A.25c}$$

$$\tau_2^{(4)} = \tau(e_1.\sigma) = \frac{a_3a_4(a_1\tau_1^{(1)}\tau_1^{(3)} + a_3\tau_1^{(2)}\tau_2^{(1)})}{a_0{a_1}^2\tau_2^{(3)}}, \quad \tau_2^{(5)} = \tau(e_1), \tag{A.25d}$$

and the normalization of the polynomials F_Λ to be designed to hold the fundamental relations (A.14). We note that the action of $\widetilde{W}(A_4^{(1)})$ on the τ-variables are directly obtained from the definition of the mapping τ. For example,

$$s_2(\tau_2^{(5)}) = \tau(e_1.s_2) = \frac{\gamma'(a_2a_3\tau_1^{(3)}\tau_1^{(5)} + a_0\tau_1^{(4)}\tau_2^{(3)})}{\tau_1^{(2)}}, \tag{A.26}$$

where γ' is an arbitrary non-zero complex parameter. Moreover, Figure 6 shows simple relations between the τ-variables.

Appendix B. The linear action of $\widetilde{W}((A_2 \rtimes A_1)^{(1)})$

In this section, we give explanations of the transformation group $\widetilde{W}((A_2 \rtimes A_1)^{(1)})$ and its translation part $\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4 \rangle$ with their linear actions on the root systems.

We here consider the following submodule of the root lattice $\hat{Q}(A_4^{(1)})$ (A.8):

$$Q((A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}) = \mathbb{Z}\beta_0 \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}\beta_1 \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}\beta_2 \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}\gamma_0 \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}\gamma_1, \tag{B.1}$$

where the simple roots β_i, $i = 0, 1, 2$, and γ_i, $i = 0, 1$, are defined by

$$\beta_0 = \alpha_0, \quad \beta_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \quad \beta_2 = \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \tag{B.2a}$$

$$\gamma_0 = 2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - 2\alpha_4, \quad \gamma_1 = \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_4, \tag{B.2b}$$

and satisfy

$$\delta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1. \tag{B.3}$$

The root lattices $Q(A_2^{(1)}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2} \mathbb{Z}\beta_i$ and $Q(A_1^{(1)}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{1} \mathbb{Z}\gamma_i$ are of $A_2^{(1)}$- and $A_1^{(1)}$-types, respectively:

$$(b_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (c_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{B.4}$$

where

$$b_{ij} = \frac{2(\beta_i|\beta_j)}{(\beta_j|\beta_j)}, \quad c_{ij} = \frac{2(\gamma_i|\gamma_j)}{(\gamma_j|\gamma_j)}. \tag{B.5}$$

Let us discuss Cremona transformations for $Q((A_2 + A_1)^{(1)})$. The transformations w_i, $i = 0, 1, 2$, r_i, $i = 0, 1$, and π, defined by (3.1), act on $Q((A_2 + A_1)^{(1)})$ as the following:

$$(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_0, \gamma_1).w_0 = (-\beta_0, \beta_1 + \beta_0, \beta_2 + \beta_0, \gamma_0, \gamma_1), \tag{B.6a}$$
$$(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_0, \gamma_1).w_1 = (\beta_0 + \beta_1, -\beta_1, \beta_2 + \beta_1, \gamma_0, \gamma_1), \tag{B.6b}$$
$$(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_0, \gamma_1).w_2 = (\beta_0 + \beta_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2, -\beta_2, \gamma_0, \gamma_1), \tag{B.6c}$$
$$(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_0, \gamma_1).r_0 = (\beta_0, \beta_2, \beta_1, -\gamma_0, \gamma_1 + 2\gamma_0), \tag{B.6d}$$
$$(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_0, \gamma_1).r_1 = (\beta_1, \beta_0, \beta_2, \gamma_0 + 2\gamma_1, -\gamma_1), \tag{B.6e}$$
$$(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_0, \gamma_1).\pi = (\beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_0). \tag{B.6f}$$

The transformations w_i, $i = 0, 1, 2$, correspond to the reflections for the simple roots β_i, $i = 0, 1, 2$, respectively, that is, they satisfy

$$v.w_i = v - \frac{2(v|\beta_i)}{(\beta_i|\beta_i)}\beta_i, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \tag{B.7}$$

for all $v \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$. Moreover, the transformation π corresponds to the automorphism of the Dynkin diagram:

$$(d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4; \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2; \gamma_0, \gamma_1).\pi = (d_1, d_0, d_4, d_3, d_2; \beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_0; \gamma_1, \gamma_0). \tag{B.8}$$

Note that there are no Cremona transformations correspond to the reflections for the simple roots γ_i, $i = 0, 1$, since

$$\frac{2(h_1|\gamma_i)}{(\gamma_i|\gamma_i)}\gamma_i = -\frac{1}{15}\gamma_i \notin \mathrm{Pic}(X). \tag{B.9}$$

From the fundamental relations (A.14), we can verified that the group of transformations $\langle w_0, w_1, w_2, r_0, r_1, \pi\rangle$ satisfy the following relations:

$$w_i{}^2 = (w_i w_{i\pm1})^3 = 1, \quad r_0{}^2 = r_1{}^2 = (r_0 r_1)^\infty = 1, \quad \pi^2 = 1, \tag{B.10a}$$
$$r_0 w_i = w_{-i}\, r_0, \quad r_1 w_i = w_{-i+1}\, r_1, \quad \pi w_i = w_{2-i}\, \pi, \quad \pi r_0 = r_1 \pi, \tag{B.10b}$$

where $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. We note that the relation $(ww')^\infty = 1$ for transformations w and w' means that there is no positive integer N such that $(ww')^N = 1$. Therefore, transformation group $\langle w_0, w_1, w_2, r_0, r_1, \pi\rangle$ forms the extended affine Weyl group of type $(A_2 \rtimes A_1)^{(1)}$, denoted by $\widetilde{W}((A_2 \rtimes A_1)^{(1)})$. Here, $W(A_2^{(1)}) = \langle w_0, w_1, w_2\rangle$ and $W(A_1^{(1)}) = \langle r_0, r_1\rangle$ form affine Weyl groups of types $A_2^{(1)}$ and $A_1^{(1)}$, respectively. Moreover, $W((A_2 \rtimes A_1)^{(1)}) = \langle w_0, w_1, w_2, r_0, r_1\rangle$ is the semi direct product of $W(A_2^{(1)})$ and $W(A_1^{(1)})$.

The transformations ρ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, defined by (3.5) are translations on $Q((A_2 + A_1)^{(1)})$ since they act on $Q((A_2 + A_1)^{(1)})$ as the following:

$$(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_0, \gamma_1).\rho_1 = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_0, \gamma_1) + (-1, 0, 1, 1, -1)\delta, \tag{B.11a}$$
$$(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_0, \gamma_1).\rho_2 = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_0, \gamma_1) + (0, 1, -1, 1, -1)\delta, \tag{B.11b}$$
$$(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_0, \gamma_1).\rho_3 = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_0, \gamma_1) + (1, -1, 0, 1, -1)\delta, \tag{B.11c}$$
$$(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_0, \gamma_1).\rho_4 = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_0, \gamma_1) + (0, 0, 0, -3, 3)\delta. \tag{B.11d}$$

Note that ρ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$, are not translational motions on $\hat{Q}(A_4^{(1)})$ (A.8):

$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4).\rho_1 = (\alpha_0 - \delta, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_3, -\alpha_2 + \delta, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \tag{B.12a}$$

$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4).\rho_2 = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_3 + \delta, -\alpha_2, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1), \tag{B.12b}$$

$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4).\rho_3 = (\alpha_0 + \delta, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_4, -\alpha_3, -\alpha_2 + \delta, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_1), \tag{B.12c}$$

$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4).\rho_4 = (\alpha_0, -\alpha_0 - \alpha_4, -\alpha_3 + \delta, -\alpha_2, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4), \tag{B.12d}$$

but their squares are translations on $\hat{Q}(A_4^{(1)})$:

$$\rho_1{}^2 = T_0 T_2 T_4{}^{-1}, \quad \rho_2{}^2 = T_0 T_2{}^{-1} T_4, \quad \rho_3{}^2 = T_0{}^{-1} T_2 T_4, \quad \rho_4{}^2 = T_1 T_3. \tag{B.13}$$

References

[1] V. E. Adler, A. I. Bobenko, and Y. B. Suris. Classification of integrable equations on quad-graphs. The consistency approach. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 233(3):513–543, 2003.

[2] V. E. Adler, A. I. Bobenko, and Y. B. Suris. Discrete nonlinear hyperbolic equations: classification of integrable cases. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, 43(1):3–21, 2009.

[3] P. Biane. Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, q-gamma weights, and discrete Painlevé equations. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 14(1):1–27, 170, 2014.

[4] P. Boalch. Quivers and difference Painlevé equations. In *Groups and symmetries*, volume 47 of *CRM Proc. Lecture Notes*, pages 25–51. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

[5] L. Boelen and W. Van Assche. Discrete Painlevé equations for recurrence coefficients of semiclassical Laguerre polynomials. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138(4):1317–1331, 2010.

[6] R. Boll. Classification of 3D consistent quad-equations. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 18(3):337–365, 2011.

[7] R. Boll. Corrigendum: Classification of 3D consistent quad-equations. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 19(4):1292001, 3, 2012.

[8] R. Boll. Classification and Lagrangian Structure of 3D Consistent Quad-Equations. *Doctoral Thesis, Technische Universität Berlin*, submitted August 2012.

[9] A. Borodin and D. Boyarchenko. Distribution of the first particle in discrete orthogonal polynomial ensembles. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 234(2):287–338, 2003.

[10] A. Dzhamay, H. Sakai, and T. Takenawa. Discrete Schlesinger Transformations, their Hamiltonian Formulation, and Difference Painlevé Equations. *arXiv:1302.2972*.

[11] A. Dzhamay and T. Takenawa. Geometric Analysis of Reductions from Schlesinger Transformations to Difference Painlevé Equations. *arXiv:1408.3778*.

[12] C. M. Field, N. Joshi, and F. W. Nijhoff. q-difference equations of KdV type and Chazy-type second-degree difference equations. *J. Phys. A*, 41(33):332005, 13, 2008.

[13] B. Grammaticos and A. Ramani. Discrete Painlevé equations: a review. In *Discrete integrable systems*, volume 644 of *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, pages 245–321. Springer, Berlin, 2004.

[14] B. Grammaticos, A. Ramani, J. Satsuma, R. Willox, and A. S. Carstea. Reductions of integrable lattices. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 12(suppl. 1):363–371, 2005.

[15] M. Hay. Hierarchies of nonlinear integrable q-difference equations from series of Lax pairs. *J. Phys. A*, 40(34):10457–10471, 2007.

[16] M. Hay, J. Hietarinta, N. Joshi, and F. Nijhoff. A Lax pair for a lattice modified KdV equation, reductions to q-Painlevé equations and associated Lax pairs. *J. Phys. A*, 40(2):F61–F73, 2007.

[17] M. Hay, P. Howes, N. Nakazono, and Y. Shi. A systematic approach to reductions of type-Q ABS equations. *J. Phys. A*, 48(9):095201, 24, 2015.

[18] Y. Ikawa. Hypergeometric solutions for the q-Painlevé equation of type $E_6^{(1)}$ by the Padé method. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 103(7):743–763, 2013.

[19] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa. Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients. II. *Phys. D*, 2(3):407–448, 1981.

[20] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa. Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients. III. *Phys. D*, 4(1):26–46, 1981/82.

[21] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and K. Ueno. Monodromy preserving deformation of linear ordinary differential equations with rational coefficients. I. General theory and τ-function. *Phys. D*, 2(2):306–352, 1981.

[22] M. Jimbo and H. Sakai. A q-analog of the sixth Painlevé equation. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 38(2):145–154, 1996.

[23] N. Joshi and N. Nakazono. Lax pairs of discrete Painlevé equations: $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$ case. *arXiv:1503.04515*.

[24] N. Joshi, N. Nakazono, and Y. Shi. Geometric reductions of ABS equations on an n-cube to discrete Painlevé systems. *J. Phys. A*, 47(50):505201, 16, 2014.

[25] N. Joshi, N. Nakazono, and Y. Shi. Lattice equations arising from discrete Painlevé systems. I. $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$ and $(A_1 + A_1')^{(1)}$ cases. *J. Math. Phys.*, 56(9):092705, 25, 2015.

[26] K. Kajiwara, T. Masuda, M. Noumi, Y. Ohta, and Y. Yamada. ${}_{10}E_9$ solution to the elliptic Painlevé equation. *J. Phys. A*, 36(17):L263–L272, 2003.
[27] K. Kajiwara, T. Masuda, M. Noumi, Y. Ohta, and Y. Yamada. Point configurations, Cremona transformations and the elliptic difference Painlevé equation. In *Théories asymptotiques et équations de Painlevé*, volume 14 of *Sémin. Congr.*, pages 169–198. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2006.
[28] K. Kajiwara and N. Nakazono. Hypergeometric solutions to the symmetric q-Painlevé equations. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (4):1101–1140, 2015.
[29] K. Kajiwara, N. Nakazono, and T. Tsuda. Projective reduction of the discrete Painlevé system of type $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (4):930–966, 2011.
[30] K. Kajiwara, M. Noumi, and Y. Yamada. Geometric Aspects of Painlevé Equations. *arXiv:1509.08186*.
[31] K. Kajiwara, M. Noumi, and Y. Yamada. q-Painlevé systems arising from q-KP hierarchy. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 62(3):259–268, 2002.
[32] T. Masuda. Hypergeometric τ-functions of the q-Painlevé system of type $E_7^{(1)}$. *Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 5:Paper 035, 30, 2009.
[33] T. Masuda. Hypergeometric τ-functions of the q-Painlevé system of type $E_8^{(1)}$. *Ramanujan J.*, 24(1):1–31, 2011.
[34] T. Miwa, M. Jimbo, and E. Date. *Solitons*, volume 135 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000. Differential equations, symmetries and infinite-dimensional algebras, Translated from the 1993 Japanese original by Miles Reid.
[35] M. Murata. Lax forms of the q-Painlevé equations. *J. Phys. A*, 42(11):115201, 17, 2009.
[36] H. Nagao. The Padé interpolation method applied to q-Painlevé equations. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 105(4):503–521, 2015.
[37] N. Nakazono. Hypergeometric solutions of the $A_4^{(1)}$-surface q-Painlevé IV equation. *Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 10:Paper 090, 23, 2014.
[38] N. Nakazono and S. Nishioka. Solutions to a q-analog of the Painlevé III equation of type $D_7^{(1)}$. *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, 56(3):415–439, 2013.
[39] F. W. Nijhoff and V. G. Papageorgiou. Similarity reductions of integrable lattices and discrete analogues of the Painlevé II equation. *Phys. Lett. A*, 153(6-7):337–344, 1991.
[40] M. Noumi. *Painlevé equations through symmetry*, volume 223 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. Translated from the 2000 Japanese original by the author.
[41] M. Noumi, S. Tsujimoto, and Y. Yamada. Padé interpolation for elliptic Painlevé equation. In *Symmetries, integrable systems and representations*, volume 40 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 463–482. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
[42] Y. Ohyama, H. Kawamuko, H. Sakai, and K. Okamoto. Studies on the Painlevé equations. V. Third Painlevé equations of special type $P_{\mathrm{III}}(D_7)$ and $P_{\mathrm{III}}(D_8)$. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 13(2):145–204, 2006.
[43] K. Okamoto. Sur les feuilletages associés aux équations du second ordre à points critiques fixes de P. Painlevé. *Japan. J. Math. (N.S.)*, 5(1):1–79, 1979.
[44] K. Okamoto. Studies on the Painlevé equations. III. Second and fourth Painlevé equations, P_{II} and P_{IV}. *Math. Ann.*, 275(2):221–255, 1986.
[45] K. Okamoto. Studies on the Painlevé equations. I. Sixth Painlevé equation P_{VI}. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 146:337–381, 1987.
[46] K. Okamoto. Studies on the Painlevé equations. II. Fifth Painlevé equation P_{V}. *Japan. J. Math. (N.S.)*, 13(1):47–76, 1987.
[47] K. Okamoto. Studies on the Painlevé equations. IV. Third Painlevé equation P_{III}. *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, 30(2-3):305–332, 1987.
[48] C. M. Ormerod. Reductions of lattice mKdV to q-$\mathrm{P_{VI}}$. *Phys. Lett. A*, 376(45):2855–2859, 2012.
[49] C. M. Ormerod. Symmetries and special solutions of reductions of the lattice potential KdV equation. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 10:Paper 002, 19, 2014.
[50] C. M. Ormerod, P. H. van der Kamp, J. Hietarinta, and G. R. W. Quispel. Twisted reductions of integrable lattice equations, and their Lax representations. *Nonlinearity*, 27(6):1367–1390, 2014.
[51] C. M. Ormerod, P. H. van der Kamp, and G. R. W. Quispel. Discrete Painlevé equations and their Lax pairs as reductions of integrable lattice equations. *J. Phys. A*, 46(9):095204, 22, 2013.
[52] C. M. Ormerod, N. S. Witte, and P. J. Forrester. Connection preserving deformations and q-semi-classical orthogonal polynomials. *Nonlinearity*, 24(9):2405–2434, 2011.
[53] V. G. Papageorgiou, F. W. Nijhoff, B. Grammaticos, and A. Ramani. Isomonodromic deformation problems for discrete analogues of Painlevé equations. *Phys. Lett. A*, 164(1):57–64, 1992.
[54] A. Ramani and B. Grammaticos. Discrete Painlevé equations: coalescences, limits and degeneracies. *Phys. A*, 228(1-4):160–171, 1996.
[55] H. Sakai. Rational surfaces associated with affine root systems and geometry of the Painlevé equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 220(1):165–229, 2001.
[56] H. Sakai. A q-analog of the Garnier system. *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, 48(2):273–297, 2005.

[57] H. Sakai. Lax form of the q-Painlevé equation associated with the $A_2^{(1)}$ surface. *J. Phys. A*, 39(39):12203–12210, 2006.
[58] T. Takenawa. Weyl group symmetry of type $D_5^{(1)}$ in the q-Painlevé V equation. *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, 46(1):173–186, 2003.
[59] K. M. Tamizhmani, B. Grammaticos, A. S. Carstea, and A. Ramani. The q-discrete Painlevé IV equations and their properties. *Regul. Chaotic Dyn.*, 9(1):13–20, 2004.
[60] T. Tsuda. Tau functions of q-Painlevé III and IV equations. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 75(1):39–47, 2006.
[61] T. Tsuda. On an integrable system of q-difference equations satisfied by the universal characters: its Lax formalism and an application to q-Painlevé equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 293(2):347–359, 2010.
[62] T. Tsuda and T. Masuda. q-Painlevé VI equation arising from q-UC hierarchy. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 262(3):595–609, 2006.
[63] N. S. Witte. Semiclassical orthogonal polynomial systems on nonuniform lattices, deformations of the Askey table, and analogues of isomonodromy. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 219:127–234, 2015.
[64] N. S. Witte and C. M. Ormerod. Construction of a Lax pair for the $E_6^{(1)}$ q-Painlevé system. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 8:Paper 097, 27, 2012.
[65] Y. Yamada. A Lax formalism for the elliptic difference Painlevé equation. *Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 5:Paper 042, 15, 2009.
[66] Y. Yamada. Lax formalism for q-Painlevé equations with affine Weyl group symmetry of type $E_n^{(1)}$. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (17):3823–3838, 2011.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Sydney, New South Wales 2006, Australia.
E-mail address: nobua.n1222@gmail.com